## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

## OVERVIEW

Purpose. ETC Institute, in association with HNTB Corporation, conducted a survey of residents in Junction City, Milford, and in Geary County outside of Junction City, during February of 2008. The purpose of the survey was to gather input from the community about issues relating to developments being considered around US 77 and $\mathrm{k}-18$. Some of the specific topics that were addressed in the survey included:

- Frequency that residents travel on US 77 and $\mathrm{K}-18$ on the west side of Junction City.
- Perceptions of the value of improvements on US 77 and $\mathrm{K}-18$ to the economic development of the area.
- Reasons for travel on US 77 and k -18.
- Physical condition of various sections of US 77 and k -18.
- Traffic flow on various sections of US 77 and $k-18$.
- Feelings of safety on various sections of US 77 and $k-18$.
- Levels of agreement with the impact of development along US 77 and $k-18$, toward the identity and image of the area.
- Levels of support for various developments along US 77 and $\mathrm{k}-18$.
- Resident ratings of improvements at various intersections.
- Preferred ways to keep residents informed about planned improvements to US 77 and $\mathrm{K}-18$.


## METHODOLOGY

The survey was administered by phone to 619 households during February of 2008. The overall results for the 619 surveys have a precision of at least $+/-4 \%$ at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.

## Contents of the Report

This report contains:

- An executive summary of the methodology and major findings
- Charts depicting the overall results to the survey
- Tables that show the results of the survey
- Cross-tabs showing the results of the survey by those inside Junction City and those outside.
- A copy of the survey instrument.


## Major Findings

- Frequency of Travel. Forty-four percent (44\%) of those surveyed drive US 77 or K-18 on the west side of Junction City daily.
- Importance of the US 77 Corridor to Economic Development in Junction City and Geary County. Eighty-nine percent (89\%) of those surveyed felt that US 77 was "very important" or "somewhat important" to the economic development in Junction City and Geary County.
- Importance of the K-18 Corridor to Economic Development in Junction City and Geary County Seventy-five percent (75\%) of those surveyed felt that k-18 was "very important" or "somewhat important" to the economic development in Junction City and Geary County.
- Overall Physical Condition of Sections of Highway and Intersections on US 77 and K-18.
- Sixty-nine percent (69\%) of those surveyed rated the section of highway from I-70 to K-18 as "very good" or "good"; $67 \%$ rated the section of highway south of I-70 as "very good" or "good", and $54 \%$ rated $\mathrm{K}-57 / 244$ to 12th St. in the Town of Milford as "very good" or "good", with regard to physical condition.
- Overall Traffic Flow on Sections of Highway and Intersections on US 77 and K-18. Fifty-seven percent (57\%) of those surveyed rated the section of highway south of I-70 as "very good" or "good"; 52\% rated K-57/244 to 12th St. in the Town of Milford as "very good" or "good", and 51\% rated I-70 to K-18 and "very good" or "good", with regard to traffic flow.
- Overall Feeling of Safety from Accidents on Sections of Highway and Intersections on US 77 and $\mathrm{K}-18$. Sixty percent $(60 \%)$ of those surveyed rated the section of highway south of I-70 as "very good" or "good"; $53 \%$ rated $\mathrm{K}-57 / 244$ to 12 th St. in the Town of Milford as "very good" or "good", and $52 \%$ rated I-70 to K-18 and "very good" or "good", with regard to feeling of safety from accidents.
- "The number of streets and driveways accessing US 77 and K -18 should remain the same." Sixty-six percent (66\%) agreed, 19\% were neutral and 16\% disagreed.
- "The number of streets and driveways accessing US 77 and K-18 should be reduced." Twenty seven percent ( $27 \%$ ) agreed, $18 \%$ were neutral and $55 \%$ disagreed.
- "The number of streets and driveways accessing US 77 and K -18 should be increased." Nineteen percent (19\%) agreed, 16\% were neutral and 65\% disagreed.
- Perception of Travel Speeds. Those surveyed were asked about the appropriateness of the current travel speed on US 77 and K-18; in the city limits of Junction City, $69 \%$ felt the travel speed was appropriate, and outside Junction City, $76 \%$ felt the travel speed was appropriate.
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- Corridor Identity and Image. Those surveyed were in greatest agreement with these four issues: that all new developments should be required to provide internal sidewalks within the site ( $84 \%$ ) that new developments should assist the Cities and County in helping pay for sidewalks and trails along arterial and collector roads connecting new developments to established areas (82\%), tha guidelines for attractive development should be established for new development(79\%), and that bicycle and trail connections crossing US 77 and K -18 should be a priority, especially near the new middle school (77\%).
- Preferred Developments along US 77 and K-18 Corridors. It was important to those surveyed to expand industrial developments to the US $77 / I-70$ Interchange ( $69 \%$ ), to provide commercial development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 interchange (67\%), and that US 77 should remain primarily rural between Junction City and Milford (63\%).
- Level of Need for Improvements at Various Intersections Along US 77 and K-18. Those surveyed expressed the highest levels of need for improvement at intersections of US 77 and Rucker Road (65\%), K-18 and Spring Valley Road (65\%), and US 77 and Old US 77 into Fort Riley (Estes Gate) (63\%).
- Those surveyed were asked if relocating or consolidating signalized intersections on US 77 should be considered even if you had to drive a little further to your destination - 43\% responded "yes", $33 \%$ responded "no", and $24 \%$ did not have an opinion.
- Forty-seven percent (47\%) of those surveyed said they would like to receive information about potential improvements to US 77 and $\mathrm{K}-18$. The primary source of information should be the local newspaper (55\%), newsletters (27\%) and television (26\%).
- Seven percent (7\%) of those surveyed said they lived on Fort Riley, $22 \%$ said they worked on Fort Riley, and of those who did not live or work on Fort Riley, 44\% said they had visited Fort Riley for shopping, medical or other purposes during the last month.

The charts and graphs on the following pages illustrate the responses from the Survey. The purpose of the survey was to gather input from the community about issues relating to developments being considered around US 77 and K-18. A copy of the original survey document with full questions is included at the end of this document.


Q1. Prior to today, did you know that there is a study being conducted to plan improvements to US 77 and $\mathrm{K}-18$ and to address growth in this area?
by percentage of respondents


Yes
$46 \%$
Source: ETC InstituteHNTB Corporation (February 2008-US 77 and K -18 Survey)
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Q2. How many years have you been driving on US 77 and K-18 on the west side of Junction City?
by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K-18$ Survey)
Q3. How often do you drive on US 77 or K-18 on the west side of Junction City?
by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008-US 77 and K-18 Survey)

Q4. Most Frequent Reasons for Travel on US 77 by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC InstituteHNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K-18$ Survey)
Q5. Overall, how important do you think the US 77 Corridor is to economic development in Junction City and Geary County?
by percentage of respondents


[^0]
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Q6. Most Frequent Reasons for Travel on K-18 by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K$-18 Survey)
Q7. Overall, how important do you think the K-18 Corridor is to economic development in Junction City and Geary County? by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC InstituteHNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K -18 Survey)

Q8. Ratings of the Overall Physical Condition of Sections of Highway and Intersections on US 77/K-18 by percentage of respondents who rated the item as a 1 to 5 on 5 -ppoint scale (excluding dont knows)


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K-18$ Survey)
Q9. Ratings of the Overall Traffic Flow on Sections of Highway and Intersections on US 77 and K-18 by percentage of respondents who rated the item as a 1 to 5 on a 5 -point scale (excluding don't knows)


[^1]
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Q11. Level of Agreement with Various Statements Affecting Traffic On and Off of US 77 and K-18
by percentage of respondents who rated the item as a 1 to 5 on a 5 -point scale excluding dont knows)


Q12. What is your opinion of the Travel Speed on US 77 and K-18 in the City limits of Junction City? by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K-18 Survey)

Q13. What is your opinion of the Travel Speed on US 77 and K-18 in Geary County, outside Junction City?
by percentage of respondents
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Q14. Level of Agreement with Various Development Requirements that Could Impact the Identity and Image of Development Along US 77 and K-18


Source: ETC InstituteभHNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K$-18 Survey)


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008- US 77 and $K$-18 Survey)


Q17. Ratings of the Level of Need of Improvements at Various Intersections Along US 77 and K-18 by percentage of respondents who rated the item as a 5 or 4 on a 5 -point scale

$\square$ Extremely High Need (5) $\square$ High Need (4) $\square$ Average (3) $\square$ Low/not needed(2/2)
Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K-18$ Survey)
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Q18. Should we consider relocating or consolidating signalized intersections on US 77, if the side effect is driving a little further to your destination?
by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K-18 Survey)

Q19. Would you like to receive information about potential improvements to US 77 and K-18?
by percentage of respondents


Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K-18 Survey)

Q19a. Sources Residents Would Most Like to Receive Information From About Improvements to US 77/K-18

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { by percentage of respondents who indicated they would like to receive information } \\
& \text { about potential improvements to US } 77 \text { and } \mathrm{K}-18
\end{aligned}
$$



Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K-18$ Survey)
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Q21. Do you work on Fort Riley? <br> by percentage of respondents |
|  | Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K-18 Survey) |

## TABULAR DATA

Geography

| Geography | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I=Inside | 414 | $66.9 \%$ |
| O=Outside | 205 | $33.1 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

O1. Prior to today, did you know there was a study being conducted to plan improvements to these two highways and address future growth in this area?

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Q1 Did you know there was a study being } \\
\text { conducted to plan improvements }
\end{array} & & \\
\hline 1=\text { Yes } & 287 & 46.4 \% \\
2 \text { No } & 332 & 53.6 \% \\
\text { Total } & 619 & 100.0 \%
\end{array}
$$

Q2. How many years have you been driving on US 77 or K-18 on the west side of Junction City?
Q2 How many years have you been driving on
US 77 or K-18 west of Junction City
$1=$ Less than 5 years
$2=6-10$ years
$3=$ More
$=$ More than 10 years
$\begin{array}{rr}125 & 20.2 \% \\ 81 & 13.1 \% \\ 411 & 66.4 \%\end{array}$
$9=$ Not Provided
411
2
Q22. If you don't live or work on Fort Riley, have you visited the Fort for shopping, medical or other purposes during the last month?
by percentage of respondents


Yes
$44 \%$
Source: ETC InstituteHNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K-18 Survey)
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Q4. If you travel on US 77, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel?

| Q4 Which are the most frequent reasons for your |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| travel | Number | Percent |
| 1=Going to work/business travel | 238 | $38.4 \%$ |
| 2=Visiting friends/relatives | 251 | $40.5 \%$ |
| 3=Recreation or entertainment | 198 | $32.0 \%$ |
| 4=Shopping/errands | 222 | $35.9 \%$ |
| 5=Getting medical/dental attention | 114 | $18.4 \%$ |
| 6=Going to school | 60 | $9.7 \%$ |
| 7=Other | 35 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 8=I don't travel US 77 | 29 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | 4 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Total | 1151 |  |

Q4. If you travel on US 77, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel? (Other Responses)

| Q4 Other Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CHURCH | 3 | $9.7 \%$ |
| CHURCH FUNCTIONS | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| CROSSING | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| DEAN | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| FAMILY | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| FARM | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| GOING TO CHURCH | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| GOING TO CHURCH MEETINGS | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| GOING TO FARM | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| GOING TO HWY 70 | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| GOING TO I-70 | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| GOING TO THE LAKE | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| GOLFING | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| JUNCTION CITY | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| JUNCTION CITY TRAVEL | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| LAKE | 4 | $12.9 \%$ |
| PICK UP NEPHEW | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| PLEASURE | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| PROPERTY | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| RELIGIOUS PURPOSE | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| TO GET TO HWY 70 | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| TRAVEL | 2 | $6.5 \%$ |
| TRAVELING TO MANHATTEN | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| VOLUNTEER | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| VOLUNTEER WORK | 31 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

## Q5. Overall, how important do you think the US 77 Corridor is to economic development in

 Junction City and Geary County?| Q5 How important do you think the US 77 <br> Corridor is to economic development |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1=Not at all important to economic | Number | Percent |
| $\quad$ development on the corridor |  |  |
| 2=Not very important | 11 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | 15 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 4=Somewhat Important | 32 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 5=Very Important | 118 | $19.1 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | 434 | $70.1 \%$ |
| Total | 9 | $1.5 \%$ |

Q6. If you travel on $\mathrm{K}-18$, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel?

| Q6 Which are the most frequent reasons for your |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| travel | Number | Percent |
| 1=Going to work/business travel | 130 | $21.0 \%$ |
| 2=Visiting friends/relatives | 198 | $32.0 \%$ |
| 3=Recreation or entertainment | 179 | $28.9 \%$ |
| 4=Shopping/errands | 228 | $36.8 \%$ |
| 5=Getting medical/dental attention | 83 | $13.4 \%$ |
| 6=Going to school | 42 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 7=Other | 29 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 8=I don't travel US 77 | 102 | $16.5 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | 2 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | 993 |  |
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Q6. If you travel on K-18, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel? (Other Responses)

| Q6 Other Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CHURCH | 5 | $19.2 \%$ |
| DETOUR | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| FARM | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| FEED HORSES | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| GO TO AVALIN | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| GO TO OTHER TOWN | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| JUNCTION | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| LAKE | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| LEISURE | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| MANHATTAN TRAVEL | 2 | $7.7 \%$ |
| MEETING | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| MEETINGS | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| MSCELLANEOUS | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| TO GET TO 77 | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| TO GET TO US-77 | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| TO SCHOOL IN MANHATTEN | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| TO GO MANHATTAN | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| TRAVEL-VACAATION | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| US 77 BLOCKED AT MCFARLAND RD | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| VACATION | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| VOLUNTEER WORK | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Total | 26 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q7. Overall, how important do you think the K-18 Corridor is to economic development in Junction City and Geary County?

Q7 How important do you think the K-18
Corridor is to economic development =Not at all important to economic
development on the corrido

Important
$5=$ Very Important
$\begin{array}{rr}131 & 21.2 \% \\ 334 & 54.0 \% \\ 21\end{array}$

| $9=$ Not Provided | 21 | $3.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q8. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall physical condition of the following sections and intersections on both US 77 and K-18. You may base your ratings on ride quality, the number of potholes and cracks you have observed in the pavement, the upkeep of guardrails and signs, and other items.

| ( $\mathrm{N}=619$ ) |  |  |  |  | Very <br> Good <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't } \\ \text { Know } \\ \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very Poor } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Okay/ <br> Neutral 3 | $\underset{4}{\text { Good }}$ |  |  |
| Q8a K-57/244 to 12th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Milford | 0.8\% | 7.1\% | 25.8\% | 31.2\% | 9.0\% | 26.0\% |
| Q8b K-18 to K57/244 | 0.8\% | 8.1\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 9.0\% | 24.9\% |
| Q8c I-70 to K-18 | 2.3\% | 5.3\% | 19.7\% | 43.5\% | 19.2\% | 10.0\% |
| Q8d South of I-70 | 1.0\% | 4.7\% | 19.5\% | 37.0\% | 12.6\% | 25.2\% |
| Q8e US 77/K-18 Interchange to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Munson Rd | 2.6\% | 9.7\% | 23.6\% | 25.5\% | 4.7\% | 33.9\% |
| Q8f West of Munson Rd | 1.9\% | 8.2\% | 20.5\% | 20.7\% | 3.4\% | 45.2\% |

Q8. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall physical condition of the following sections and intersections on both US 77 and K-18. You may base your ratings on ride quality, the number of potholes and cracks you have observed in the pavement, the upkeep of guardrails and signs, and other items. (Excluding Don't Know)

| ( $\mathrm{N}=619$ ) |  |  |  |  | Very Good 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very Poor } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Okay/ <br> Neutral <br> 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Good } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Q8a K-57/244 to 12th Street Town of |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milford | 1.1\% | 9.6\% | 34.9\% | 42.1\% | 12.2\% |
| Q8b K-18 to K57/244 | 1.1\% | 10.8\% | 38.1\% | 38.1\% | 12.0\% |
| Q8c I-70 to K-18 | 2.5\% | 5.9\% | 21.9\% | 48.3\% | 21.4\% |
| Q8d South of I-70 | 1.3\% | 6.3\% | 26.1\% | 49.5\% | 16.8\% |
| Q8e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd | 3.9\% | 14.7\% | 35.7\% | 38.6\% | 7.1\% |
| Q8f West of Munson Rd | 3.5\% | 15.0\% | 37.5\% | 37.8\% | 6.2\% |
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## Q8a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding overall physical condition of

 US 77 and K-18?| Q8a Are there any other areas you would like to |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| mention | Number | Percent |
| 4 LANES ON HIGHWAY 77 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 57 JUNCTION | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 57TH \& US 77 NEEDS STOPLIGHT | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 6TH ST BY KINGDOM HALL | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 77 \& ASH ST IS DANGEROUS | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 77 \& LYONS CREEK INTERSECTION | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 77 CAN BE HAZARDOUS | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 77 CUTS OFF QUICK SHOPS | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 77 NEEDS FOUR LANES | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| AREA BETWEEN ASH AND MCFARLAND | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| ASH | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| ASH \& US 77 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| ASH ST \& MCFARLAND NEEDS WORK | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| BACK STREETS IN TOWN | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| CHATMAN RD HAS BAD POTHOLES | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| CHESTNUT ON EAST SIDE IS POOR | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| CHESTNUT REALLY POOR CONDITION | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| DO WORK FASTER | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| DONE A LOT OF IMPROVEMENTS | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| FIX POTHOLES JUNCTION TO K-18 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| GETTING WORSE ASH \& 77 LIGHTS | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| I70 EXIT | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| I70 TO K-18 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| JUNCTION CITY AND MILFORD BAD | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K-18 4 LANES ALL THE WAY | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K-18 NEEDS TO BE IMPROVED | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K-18 TO EISENHOWER ST | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K-18 TO MANHATTAN FINISH WIDEN | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K18 AND SPRING VALLEY RD | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K18 COMING INTO JUNCTION CITY | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K18 I70 TO MANHATTAN | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K18 NEEDS WORK | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| K18 WIDENED | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| LIGHT NEEDED AT K18/SPRING VAL | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| LIGHTS ON ASH | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| LIKE IMPROVEMENTS AT 77 \& ASH | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| MORE DEER SIGNS ON US 77 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| MORE STOP LIGHTS | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| MORE STOP LIGHTS ON US-77 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| MORE TURN LANES | $1.3 \%$ |  |
| NEED SAFETY ON US 77 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | 1 |

Q8a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding overall physical condition of US 77 and K-18?

Q8a Are there any other areas you would like to
mention

NEEDS TO BE WIDENED/NO SIDEWAL NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
NEW SCHOOL-NEED 4 LANES NOW
NICE IF US 77 WAS 4 LANES
NO K-18 OGDEN
NO SIDEWALKS
NON K3W
OFF OLD 77 A LOT OF TRAFFIC
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1.3 \% \\ 1 & 1.3 \%\end{array}$ ONE SPOT PAST COUNTRY CLUB REPAINT ROAD LINES ON US 77 RILEY CO NEEDS BETTER ROADS RITTER
RIVER ROAD
RUCKER RD
RUCKER RD \& SPUR INTERSECTION SAFETY
SEE MORE EXPANSION WIDTH WISE SNOW REMOVAL
SOUTH OF I70 AND US77
SPEED LIMITS CHANGE TOO OFTEN
STOP LIGHT AT US 77 INTERSECTI
STOP LIGHTS, OVER PASSES
STOPLIGHT OFF ASH
STREETS US77 \& K18
TOO NARROW
TRAFFIC LIGHTS AT US 77 \& ASH TRAFFIC LIGHTS ON ROCKER RD US 77 AND ASH ST
US 77 NEEDS SIGNAL
US 77 NEEDS TO BE WIDER
US 77S OUT OF MILFORD

US77 TO FORT RILEY INTERCHANGE US77 WIDEN ON RUCKER RD \& TURN W ASH \& 77 TO SPRING VALLEY WIDEN THE STREETS YES | YES | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 79 | $1.3 \%$ |
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Q9. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall flow of traffic through the same sections of highway and intersections that you just rated. ( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )

|  | Very Poor 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Okay/ Neutral 3 | Good 4 | Very <br> Good 5 | Don't <br> Know <br> 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q9a K-57/244 to 12th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Milford | 2.3\% | 7.1\% | 26.7\% | 27.1\% | 10.8\% | 26.0\% |
| Q9b K-18 to K57/244 | 1.9\% | 9.4\% | 30.5\% | 26.0\% | 8.1\% | 24.1\% |
| Q9c I-70 to K-18 | 3.9\% | 15.0\% | 24.9\% | 32.6\% | 13.4\% | 10.2\% |
| Q9d South of I-70 | 1.3\% | 8.1\% | 23.4\% | 31.3\% | 11.8\% | 24.1\% |
| Q9e US 77/K-18 Interchange to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Munson Rd | 2.6\% | 10.2\% | 22.1\% | 21.2\% | 6.5\% | 37.5\% |
| Q9f West of Munson Rd | 2.1\% | 7.1\% | 20.7\% | 20.0\% | 5.3\% | 44.7\% |

Q9. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall flow of traffic through the same sections of highway and intersections that you just rated. (Excluding Don't Know)
( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )

|  |  |  | Okay/ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very Poor | Poor <br> Neutral | Good <br> Gery | Veod <br> Good |  |
| Q9a K-57/244 to 12th Street Town of |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Milford | $3.1 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| Q9b K-18 to K57/244 | $2.6 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| Q9c I-70 to K-18 | $4.3 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |
| Q9d South of I-70 | $1.7 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| Q9e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd | $4.1 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Q9f West of Munson Rd | $3.8 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |

Q9a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding overall flow of traffic?
Q9a Are there any other areas you would like to

18TH \& JACKSON NEEDS IMPROVEME 244 \& US77 TOO BUSY/CONGESTED 4 LANES NEEDED
4NO
77 AND OLD 77
77 CAN BE HAZARDOUS
77 TO OLD 77
77 TO RANGE RD
A LOT MORE THAN USE TO BE
ASH AND LACY
ASH RD \& MCFARLAND RD ASH ST - 77/NASH
ASH TO US 77 NEEDS A LIGHT BY THE SCHOOL IS VERY BAD CERTAIN HOUR NO PROBLEM
ENTIRE K-18 CONGESTED
EVERYTHING IS NORMAL
FLOW IS GOOD-ACCIDENTS INCREAS HATE TRAFFIC LIGHT/PREVENTS AC HEAVIER ON US 77
HEAVY TRAFFIC
HEAVY TRAFFIC MCFARLAND AND 77 HWY 77 \& ASH RD
I-70 77 HORRIBLE TRAFFIC
I70 AND E CHESTNUT ST
I70 TO K18 A LOT OF TRAFFIC
INTERSECTION @ HWY77 \& OLD 77 JUNCTION CITY
K-18 GOING THROUGH OGDEN
K18/I70 TO OGDEN
MCFARLAND \& 77 BAD
MILFORD NEAR THE SCHOOL
MORE LANES BY FORT RILEY
MORE LANES NEEDED I70 TO K18 NEED 244/77 TRAFFIC LIGHTS NEED STOP SIGNS ON US 77
NEED TO MAKE INTO FOUR LANE NEEDS TO IMPROVE-VOLUME INCREA NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
OLD 77 FORT RILEY
OLD 77 TO US 77 ON ESTES RD
Number
Percen $1.6 \%$
$1.6 \%$
$1.6 \%$ $1.6 \%$
$1.6 \%$

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q9a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding overall flow of traffic?
Q9a Are there any other areas you would like to
mention
REMOVE TRAFFIC LIGHTS
RUCKER RD TO MILFORD RD
SPEED LIMIT IS 55 SIDE ROADS C
SPRING VALLEY RD
SPRING VALLEY RD DO K-18
STOP LIGHTS INSTEAD OF SIGNS
STREET LIGHTING BY NEW SCHOOL TOWARDS WALMART
TOWN ROADS ARE MORE CONGESTED
TRAFFIC BY MIDDLE SCHOOL
US 77
US 77 \& JACKSON-ACCIDENTS
US $77 \&$ MCFARLAND RD
US 77 AND ASH RD
US 77N
VERY DANGEROUS
WASHINGTON \& GROVE AVE K-18
WASHINGTON SPEED/STOP SIGNS WIDEN HIGHWAYS
WIDEN THE HIGHWAY WHERE NEEDED Total

| Number | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 63 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe", please indicate how safe you feel from accidents when driving on or through the following:

## ( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )

|  | Very Poor 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Okay/ <br> Neutral <br> 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Good } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Very Good 5 | Don't Know 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q10a K-57/244 to 12th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Milford | 3.1\% | 10.5\% | 21.6\% | 31.0\% | 9.0\% | 24.7\% |
| Q10b K-18 to K57/244 | 3.9\% | 10.3\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% | 7.9\% | 22.8\% |
| Q10c I-70 to K-18 | 6.5\% | 11.1\% | 25.7\% | 35.4\% | 10.5\% | 10.8\% |
| Q10d South of I-70 | 2.4\% | 7.1\% | 21.3\% | 33.3\% | 12.1\% | 23.7\% |
| Q10e US 77/K-18 Interchange to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Munson Rd | 5.3\% | 9.4\% | 22.6\% | 22.1\% | 5.8\% | 34.7\% |
| Q10f West of Munson Rd | 3.2\% | 6.6\% | 19.4\% | 21.0\% | 5.7\% | 44.1\% |

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe", please indicate how safe you feel from accidents when driving on or through the following: (Excluding Don't Know)
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc}\text { (N=619) } & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Okay/ } \\ \text { Neutral }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Good } \\ \text { Very Poor }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { Good } \\ \text { Poor }\end{array} \\ & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q10a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding feelings of safety from accidents?

| Q10a Are there any other areas you would like |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| to mention | Number | Percent |
| 244 \& INSTERSTATE GOING N US77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 77 \& LYONS CREED ROAD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 77 AND OLD 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 77 HIGH | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ASH \& 77 NEED LIGHTS, 77-57 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ASH RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ASH RD AND RUCKER NEED LIGHTS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ASH ST INTERSECTION | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ASH ST NEEDS MORE TRAFFIC LIGH | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ASH ST STREET LIGHT | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ASH STREET NEEDS IMPROVEMENT | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| DEVELOPMENTS MAKE TRAFFIC WORS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| EAST US77 ON K-18 POOR SAFETY | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ESTES RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| EXITS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| FINISH ON ASH RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| FIX POTHOLES TO BE SAFER | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| GETTING BETTER | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| GUARDRAILS NEEDED ON 77N | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| HWY 77 AND OLD HWY 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| I70 TO MILFORD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| JACKSON \& 17TH ST/GRANT AVE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| K-18 TO OGDEN/77 \& RUCKER PRIV | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| K-18/ASH | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| K18 \& SPRING VALLEY RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| K18 BY THE MIDDLE SCHOOL | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| K18 HAS DANGEROUS STOPLIGHT | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| K18 IS TOO NARROW | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| LIGHT AT 77\&244 BY BJ'S STORE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| LIGHT AT MCFARLAND CROSSING 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| MERGING LANES ON K18 DANGEROUS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| MORE LANES ON ROADS NEEDED | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| MORE TRAFFIC LIGHTS ON US 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| N77 TO K57 DANGEROUS YEILD LIG | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NEED 4 LANES ON HIGHWAY | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NEED MORE LANES | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NEED STOP LIGHTS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NEEDED THE TRAFFIC LIGHTS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NEEDS STOP SIGN BY AIRPORT | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NEES IMPROVEMENT | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NEW CONSTRUCTION ON US 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Q10a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding feelings of safety from accidents?

Q10a Are there any other areas you would like

| Qo mention | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| NORTH OF K57 AND OLD 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| OB SIGNS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| OLD 77 FORT RILEY | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| PATRIOT POINT NEEDS TURN LANES | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| PEOPLE DRIVE TOO FAST | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| PEOPLE TOO FAST | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| PUT STOP LIGHT AT US77 \& ASH | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| REDUCE SPEED LIMIT | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| RIVERBAND TRAILER PARK ROADS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ROCKER RD\&77\&ASH NEED TRAFFIC | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| RUCKER RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| RUCKER RD \& 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| RUCKER RD \& 77 IS UNSAFE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| RUCKER RD TO MILFORD RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| SLOW DOWN ZONE ON W 18TH | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| SLOW THE SPEED LIMIT | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| SNOW REMOVAL K18 \& I70 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| SOUTH OF 70 IS HAZARDOUS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| SPEED | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| SPRING VALLEY | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| SPRING VALLEY RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| STOP LIGHT ON ASH RD NEEDED | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| STOP LIGHT ON RUCKER RD \& 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| STOP LIGHTS NEED ATTENTION | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| STOPLIGHT NEEDED AT 55TH \& 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| STRETCH THROUGH US 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TRAFFIC DUE TO NEW SCHOOL | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TRAFFIC LIGHTS NEEDED | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TRAFFIC LIGHTS ON K18 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TRAFFIC LIGHTS/3RD LANE MILFOR | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TRAFFIC SIGNALS NEED S OF DAM | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TURN AT SPRING AND K18 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TURN LANES NEEDED ON WEST SIDE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| TURN SIGNALS NEEDED AT ASH | $1.1 \%$ |  |
| TURNING LANES TO REDUCE ACCIDE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US 57 AND 77 INTERSECTION | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US 77 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US 77 AND ASH ST | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US 77 AND OLD MILFORD RD | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US 77 S | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q10a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding feelings of safety from accidents?

| Q10a Are there any other areas you would like |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| to mention | Number | Percent |
| US 77/OLD MILFORD IS UNSAFE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US 77N | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US77 \& JACKSON-LOTS OF ACCIDEN | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US77/K-18 INTERCHANGE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| VERY UNSAFE | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| WEBSTER/CLAY NEED NEW LIGHTS | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Total | 88 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q11. Next, I am going to read statements that could affect US 77 and K-18 and the traffic on and off the highway. In general, increasing the number of access points to a highway decreases the off the highway. In genera, increasing the number of access points to a highway decreases the homes. Knowing this, for each option, please indicate your level of agreement.
( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )

|  | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> 1 | Disagree <br> 2 | Neutral <br> 3 | Agree <br> 4 | Strongly <br> Agree <br> 5 | Not <br> Provided <br> 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q11a The number of streets <br> accessing US-77 and K-18 <br> should be reduced | $15.8 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| Q11b The number of streets <br> accessing US-77 and K-18 <br> should remain the same | $5.3 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| Q11c The number of streets <br> accessing US-77 and K-18 <br> should be increased | $28.4 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |

Q11. Next, I am going to read statements that could affect US 77 and K-18 and the traffic on and off the highway. In general, increasing the number of access points to a highway decreases the efficiency and safety of that highway, but provides more opportunities to access businesses and elfiency Kowing this for each option homes. Knowing this, for each option, please indicate your level of agreement. (Excluding Don Know)

| (N=619) | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> 1 | Disagree <br> 2 | Neutral <br> 3 | Agree <br> 4 | Strongly <br> Agree <br> 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q11a The number of streets accessing US- <br> 77 and K-18 should be reduced | $17.2 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Q11b The number of streets accessing US- <br> 77 and K-18 should remain the same | $5.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| Q11c The number of streets accessing US- <br> 77 and K-18 should be increased | $31.0 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |

Q12. What is your opinion of the travel speed on US-77 and K-18 within the city limits of Junction City?

| Q12 What is your opinion of the travel speed |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| within Junction City | Number | Percent |
| 1=The allowed speeds are too fast | 133 | $21.5 \%$ |
| 2=The allowed speeds are appropriate | 429 | $69.3 \%$ |
| 3=The allowed speeds are too slow | 26 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 4=Don't know or no opinion | 31 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q13. What is your opinion of the travel speed on US-77 and K-18 in Geary County, outside Junction City?

| Q13 What is your opinion of the travel speed in |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Geary County | Number | Percent |
| 1=The allowed speeds are too fast | 71 | $11.5 \%$ |
| 2=The allowed speeds are appropriate | 472 | $76.3 \%$ |
| $3=$ The allowed speeds are too slow | 33 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 4=Don't know or no opinion | 43 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q14. I am going to read you several types of development requirements that could impact the identity and image of development along US 77 and K -18. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly agree" and 1 means "strongly disagree', please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

| (N=619) | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> 1 | Disagree <br> 2 | Neutral <br> 3 | Agree <br> 4 | Strongly <br> Agree <br> 5 | Not <br> Provided <br> 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q14a Guidelines for development <br> should be established for new <br> development | $2.3 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Q14b All new developments <br> should provide internal <br> sidewalks | $3.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Q14c New developments should <br> assist in helping pay for <br> sidewalks | $3.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Q14d Sidewalk or trails are not a <br> priority in rural areas | $16.8 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Q14e Recreational bicycle and <br> pedestrian trails are preferred in <br> rural areas | $5.7 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| Q14f Bicycle and trail connections <br> crossing US 77 and K-18 should <br> be a priority | $6.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |

Q14. I am going to read you several types of development requirements that could impact the identity and image of development along US 77 and K-18. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly agree" and 1 means "strongly disagree', please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: (Excluding Don't Know)
( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )

| (N=619) | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> 1 | Disagree <br> 2 | Neutral <br> 3 | Agree <br> 4 | Strongly <br> Agree <br> 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q14a Guidelines for development should be <br> established for new development | $2.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ |
| Q14b All new developments should provide <br> internal sidewalks | $3.5 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ |
| Q14c New developments should assist in <br> helping pay for sidewalks | $3.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ |
| Q14d Sidewalk or trails are not a priority in <br> rural areas | $17.7 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| Q14e Recreational bicycle and pedestrian <br> trails are preferred in rural areas | $6.2 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| Q14f Bicycle and trail connections crossing <br> US 77 and K-18 should be a priority | $7.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations.
( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )
Not Somewhat Very Don't Supportive Supportive Supportive Know
Q15a Future residential development along K-18
$29.4 \% \quad 34.1 \% \quad 22.1 \% \quad 14.4 \%$
Q15b Future commercial development along K-18
$26.5 \% \quad 32.5 \% \quad 28.6 \% \quad 12.4 \%$
Q15c K-18 should remain rural west of the new Middle School
$20.4 \% \quad 22.9 \% \quad 39.9 \% \quad 16.8 \%$
Q15d Future residential development along US 77 between Junction City and Milford $30.0 \% \quad 31.5 \% \quad 28.9 \% \quad 9.5 \%$

Q15e Future commercial development along US 77 between Junction City and Milford $28.4 \% \quad 30.0 \% \quad 31.8 \% \quad 9.7 \%$
Q15f US 77 should remain primarily rural between Junction City and Milford

Q15g US 77 should remain primarily rural south of I-70
Q15h Future residential development $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange } & 39.9 \% & 28.4 \% & 20.0 \% & 11.6 \%\end{array}$
Q15i Future commercial development $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange } & 21.8 \% & 28.3 \% & 38.9 \% & 11.0 \%\end{array}$
Q15j Expansion of industrial development $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange } & 20.7 \% & 28.9 \% & 39.6 \% & 10.8 \%\end{array}$

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be very supportive, somewhat supportive, or not supportive of development at these locations. (Excluding Don't Know)
( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )
Not Somewhat Very
Supportive Supportive Supportive
$\begin{array}{llll} & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$
K-18 34.3\% $39.8 \% ~ 25.8 \%$
Q15b Future commercial development along
K-18 30.3\% $37.1 \% \quad 32.7 \%$

| Q15c K-18 should remain rural west of the |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| new Middle School |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Q15d Future residential development along } & & & \\ \text { US } 77 \text { between Junction City and Milford } & 33.2 \% & 34.8 \% & 32.0 \%\end{array}$
Q15e Future commercial development along US 77 between Junction City and Milford $31.5 \% \quad 33.3 \% \quad 35.2 \%$
Q15f US 77 should remain primarily rural between Junction City and Milford

Q15g US 77 should remain primarily rural south of I-70
$24.7 \% \quad 32.0 \% \quad 43.4 \%$
Q15h Future residential development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange $\quad 45.2 \% \quad 32.2 \% \quad 22.7 \%$
Q15i Future commercial development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange $\quad 24.5 \% \quad 31.8 \% \quad 43.7 \%$
Q15j Expansion of industrial development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange $\quad 23.2 \% \quad 32.4 \% \quad 44.4 \%$

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q16. Safety is the \#1 concern in determining what types of improvements to make to the highways. Please rank in order the other items that are most important to you.

## Mean

Q16a Economic Development 2.27
Q16c Access 1.96
Q16b Ease of travel 1.76

Q16a. If any of the three above causes environmental issues, would that affect the ranking?

| Q16a If any causes environmental issues would <br> that affect the ranking |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent |
| CIRCUMSTANCES | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| CONCERNED | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| DEPENDS | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| DONT WANT TO CREATE PROBLEM | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| MAYBE | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| MIGHT | 3 | $1.1 \%$ |
| PERHAPS | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| PRESERVE RURAL AREAS | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| PROBABLY | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| PROBABLY NOT | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| SOMEWHAT | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| TO A CERTAIN DEGREE | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| WE NEED A RECYCLING PROGRAM | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| WOULD DEPEND ON ISSUES INVOLVE | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| YES | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| YES FOR ALL THREE | 263 | $92.6 \%$ |
| YES, PROBABLY | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location
( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )

|  | Not <br> Needed at <br> All | Low <br> 1 | Average <br> 3 | High <br> 4 | Extremely <br> High Heed <br> 5 | Don't <br> Know <br> 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q17a US 77 and Old US 77 into <br> Fort Riley Estes Gate | $8.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |
| Q17b US 77 and K-244/57 | $10.2 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ |
| Q17c US 77 and Rucker Rd | $7.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Q17d K-18 and Spring Valley Rd | $7.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Q17e US 77 and McFarland Rd | $24.7 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| Q17f US 77 and Ash Rd | $19.1 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
| Q17g US 77 and the area around <br> I-70 | $18.4 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location. (Excluding Don't Know)
( $\mathrm{N}=619$ )

|  | Not <br> Needed at <br> All | Low <br> 1 | Average <br> 3 | High <br> 4 | Extremely <br> High Heed <br> 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q17a US 77 and Old US 77 into Fort Riley <br> Estes Gate | $11.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |
| Q17b US 77 and K-244/57 | $14.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Q17c US 77 and Rucker Rd | $9.2 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| Q17d K-18 and Spring Valley Rd | $9.4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ |
| Q17e US 77 and McFarland Rd | $28.6 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| Q17f US 77 and Ash Rd | $21.9 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| Q17g US 77 and the area around I-70 | $21.3 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |

Q18. Given that even spacing of signalized intersections provides a higher level of safety and efficiency of the roadway, should we consider relocating or consolidating signalized intersections on US 77, if the side effect is driving a little further to your destination?

| Q18 Should we consider relocating signalized |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| intersections on US 77 | Number | Percent |
| 1=Yes | 265 | $42.8 \%$ |
| 2=No | 202 | $32.6 \%$ |
| 3=Don't Know | 152 | $24.6 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q19. Would you like to receive information about potential improvements to US 77 and K-18?

| Q19 Would you like to receive information about |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| potential improvements | Number | Percent |
| 1=Yes | 292 | $47.2 \%$ |
| 2=No | 323 | $52.2 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | 4 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q19a. From which of the following sources would you like to receive information about planned improvements to US 77 and K-18?

| Q19a Which sources would you like to receive |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| information | Number | Percent |
| 01=Access Channel on cable TV | 54 | $18.5 \%$ |
| 02=Brochures | 76 | $26.0 \%$ |
| 03=Local Newspaper | 160 | $54.8 \%$ |
| 04=Newsletters | 78 | $26.7 \%$ |
| 05=Radio | 66 | $22.6 \%$ |
| 06=Television | 77 | $26.4 \%$ |
| 07=A website | 61 | $20.9 \%$ |
| 08=Word of Mouth | 21 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 09=Public Meetings | 50 | $17.1 \%$ |
| 10=Other | 30 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 99=Not Provided | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | 674 |  |

Q19a. From which of the following sources would you like to receive information about planned improvements to US 77 and K-18?

| Q19a Other Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| EMAIL | 4 | $13.3 \%$ |
| LOCAL ACCESS TV ON FT. RILEY | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| LOCAL MAIL | 2 | $6.7 \%$ |
| MAIL | 10 | $33.3 \%$ |
| MAIL-OUT SURVEY | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| MAILED | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| MAILING | 7 | $23.3 \%$ |
| MAILINGS | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| MALL | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| SURVEY | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| TOWN MEETING | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total | 30 | $100.0 \%$ |
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Q20. Do you live on Fort Riley?

| Q20 Do you live on Fort Riley | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1=$ Yes | 43 | $6.9 \%$ |
| $2=$ No | 576 | $93.1 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q21. Do you work on Fort Riley?

| Q21 Do you work on Fort Riley | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1=$ Yes | 136 | $22.0 \%$ |
| $2=$ No | 483 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q22. If you don't live or work on Fort Riley, have you visited the Fort for shopping, medical or other purposes during the last month?


Q23. What is your home zip code?

| Q23 What is your home ZIP Code | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 66441 | 485 | $78.4 \%$ |
| 66442 | 42 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 66514 | 62 | $10.0 \%$ |
| 67487 | 30 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Q24. Counting yourself, what are the ages of the persons in your household?

|  | Mean | Total | Sum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| FAMSIZE | 2.65 | 617 | 1635 |
| Q24 Under 5 years | 0.29 | 618 | 178 |
| Q24 5-9 years | 0.20 | 617 | 125 |
| Q24 10-14 years | 0.16 | 617 | 96 |
| Q24 15-19 years | 0.15 | 617 | 95 |
| Q24 20-24 years | 0.10 | 617 | 64 |
| Q24 25-34 years | 0.25 | 617 | 155 |
| Q24 35-44 years | 0.35 | 617 | 217 |
| Q24 45-54 years | 0.40 | 617 | 245 |
| Q24 55-64 years | 0.31 | 617 | 192 |
| Q24 65+ years | 0.44 | 617 | 269 |

## Q25. Respondent's gender

| Q25 Respondent's Gender | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1=$ Male | 305 | $49.3 \%$ |
| $2=$ Female | 314 | $50.7 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |

Total
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## TABULAR DATA

## Geography

$\mathrm{N}=619$

Geography
I=Inside
$\mathrm{O}=$ Outside

$\begin{array}{lll}0.0 \% & 0.0 \% & 66.9 \%\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}0.0 \% & 100.0 \% & 33.1 \%\end{array}$

Q3. How often do you drive on US 77 or K-18 on the west side of Junction City?
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q3 How often do you drive on US 77 or K-18 west of Junction City

| 1=Daily | $37.7 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=At least once a week | $29.7 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ |
| 3=At least once or more a |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ month | $20.8 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| 4=Seldom or never | $11.8 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |

Q4. If you travel on US 77, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel?
$\mathrm{N}=619$


Q4 Which are the most frequent reasons for your travel

| 1=Going to work/business |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| travel | $35.3 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ |
| $2=$ Visiting friends/relatives | $40.8 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $40.5 \%$ |
| 3=Recreation or |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ entertainment | $33.6 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ |
| 4=Shopping/errands <br> 5=Getting medical/dental | $30.0 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ |
| $\quad$ attention |  |  |  |
| 6=Going to school | $13.0 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| 7=Other | $7.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| 8=I don't travel US 77 | $6.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $4.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
|  | $0.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
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## Q5. Overall, how important do you think the US 77 Corridor is to economic development in Junction

 City and Geary County?\[

\]

Q5 How important do you think the US 77 Corridor is to economic development
$1=$ Not at all important to
economic development on
the corridor
$2=$ Not very important
$3=$ Neutral
4=Somewhat Important
5=Very Important
$9=$ Not Provided

|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| $4.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| $17.6 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $72.0 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ |
| $1.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |

Q6. If you travel on $\mathrm{K}-18$, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel? $\mathrm{N}=619$

\[

\]

$\qquad$

Q6 Which are the most frequent reasons for your travel
1=Going to work/business
travel
$2=$ Visiting friends/relatives
$3=$ Recreation or
entertainment
4=Shopping/errands
5=Getting medical/dental attention
6=Going to school
$7=$ Other
$8=$ I don't travel US 77
$9=$ Not Provided

|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20.5 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| $30.7 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $29.2 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| $34.1 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $12.3 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| $7.0 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| $5.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| $15.0 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| $0.2 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

Q7. Overall, how important do you think the K-18 Corridor is to economic development in Junction City and Geary County?
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
Q7 How important do you think the K-18 Corridor is to economic development

| $1=$ Not at all important to <br> economic development on <br> the corridor | $2.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2=$ Not very important | $6.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $10.9 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| 4=Somewhat Important | $18.4 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ |
| 5=Very Important | $57.5 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $3.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |

Q8. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall physical condition of the following sections and intersections on both US 77 and K-18. You may base your ratings on ride quality, the number of potholes and cracks you have observed in the pavement, the upkeep of guardrails and signs, and other items.
$\mathrm{N}=619$


Q8a K-57/244 to 12th Street Town of Milford

| 1=Very Poor | $0.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $7.2 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $26.3 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $30.0 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $8.0 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $28.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q8. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall physical condition of the following sections and intersections on both US 77 and K-18. You may base your ratings on ride quality, the number of potholes and cracks you have observed in the pavement, the upkeep of guardrails and signs, and other items.
$\mathrm{N}=619$

\[

\]

Q8b K-18 to K57/244
1=Very Poor
2=Poor
3=Okay/Neutral
4=Good
5=Very Good
9=Don't Know

| $1.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8.7 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $29.0 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| $26.8 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| $8.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| $25.8 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ |

Q8c I-70 to K-18

| 1=Very Poor | $2.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $5.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $19.3 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $42.8 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $18.8 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $10.6 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |

Q8d South of I-70

| 1=Very Poor | $1.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $5.8 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $18.4 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $38.9 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $11.1 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $24.4 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ |

Q8. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overal physical condition of the following sections and intersections on both US 77 and K-18. You may base your ratings on ride quality, the number of potholes and cracks you have observed in the pavement, the upkeep of guardrails and signs, and other items.
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q8e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $3.4 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $9.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $25.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $25.1 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $4.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $32.1 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Q8f West of Munson Rd |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1=Very Poor |  |  |  |
| 2=Poor | $7.4 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $23.4 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $18.1 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $4.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $44.7 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $45.2 \%$ |
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Q8. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overal physical condition of the following sections and intersections on both US 77 and K-18. You may base your ratings on ride quality, the number of potholes and cracks you have observed in the pavement, the upkeep of guardrails and signs, and other items. (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
Q8e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $5.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $14.2 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $37.7 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $37.0 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $6.0 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |

Q8f West of Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $4.4 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $13.1 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $42.4 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $32.8 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $7.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |

Q9. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall flow of traffic through the same sections of highway and intersections that you just rated.
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
Outside
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q9a K-57/244 to 12th Street Town of Milford

| 1=Very Poor | $2.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $4.8 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $29.5 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $25.4 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $10.6 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $27.3 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |

Q9b K-18 to K57/244
1=Very Poor
2==Poor
3=Okay/Neutral
4=Good
5=Very Good
9= Don't Know

| $1.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8.2 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| $31.4 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ |
| $25.4 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |
| $8.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $24.9 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |

Q9c I-70 to K-18
1=Very Poor
2=Poor
3=OKay/Neutral
4=Good
5=Very Good
9=Don't Know

| $4.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| $24.6 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ |
| $32.1 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ |
| $13.8 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| $9.7 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
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Q9. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall flow of traffic through the same sections of highway and intersections that you just rated.
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Geography } & \text { Total } \\
\cline { 1 - 1 } &
\end{array}
$$

Q9d South of I-70
1=Very Poor
$2=$ Poor
3=OKay $=$ /Neutral
4=Good
5=Very Good
9=Don't Know

| $1.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7.7 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $25.4 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ |
| $31.2 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| $11.6 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| $22.2 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |

Q9e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $2.9 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $10.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $22.2 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $21.3 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $6.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $36.2 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |

Q9f West of Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $2.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $6.3 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $21.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $5.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $44.7 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ |

Q9. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overal flow of traffic through the same sections of highway and intersections that you just rated. (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
Q9a K-57/244 to 12th Street Town of Milford

| 1=Very Poor | $3.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $6.6 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $40.5 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $34.9 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $14.6 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |

Q9b K-18 to K57/244
$1=$ Very Poor
$2=$ Poor
$3=$ Okay/Neutral
$4=$ Good
$5=$ Very Good

| $2.3 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10.9 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| $41.8 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ |
| $33.8 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ |
| $11.3 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |

Q9c I-70 to K-18
1=Very Poor
2=Poor
3=Okay/Neutral
4=Good
5=Very Good

| $4.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $17.4 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| $27.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| $35.6 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| $15.2 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |
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Q9. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall flow of traffic through the same sections of highway and intersections that you just rated. (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$
$\qquad$ Outsid
$\qquad$

## Q9d South of I-70

| 1=Very Poor | $2.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $9.9 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $32.6 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $40.1 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $14.9 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |

Q9e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $4.5 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $16.7 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $34.8 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $33.3 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $10.6 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |

Q9f West of Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $4.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $11.4 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $38.0 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $36.2 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $9.6 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe", please indicate how safe you feel from accidents when driving on or through the following:
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$

Q10a K-57/244 to 12th Street Town of Milford

| 1=Very Poor | $2.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $10.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $22.7 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $28.7 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $8.0 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $27.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ |

Q10b K-18 to K57/244

| 1=Very Poor | $4.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $11.1 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $24.9 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $27.8 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $7.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $24.4 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |

Q10c I-70 to K-18

| 1=Very Poor | $6.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $12.3 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| 3=Okay Neutral | $27.1 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $32.1 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $10.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $11.8 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe", please indicate how safe you feel from accidents when driving on or through the following:
$\mathrm{N}=619$

Q10d South of I-70

| 1=Very Poor | $2.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $7.2 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $22.7 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $33.1 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $11.6 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $22.7 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |

Q10e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $5.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $9.7 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $25.6 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $20.3 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $4.6 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $34.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Q10f West of Munson Rd |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1=Very Poor |  |  |  |
| 2=Poor | $6.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $19.6 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $20.8 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $4.8 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $44.2 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $4.1 \%$ |

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe", please indicate how safe you feel from accidents when driving on or through the following: (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q10a K-57/244 to 12th Street Town of Milford

| 1=Very Poor | $4.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $14.3 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $31.2 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $39.5 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $11.0 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Q10b K-18 to K57/244 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1=Very Poor | $5.4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2=Poor | $14.7 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $32.9 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $36.7 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $10.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Q10c I-70 to K-18 |  |  |  |
| 1=Very Poor | $7.1 \%$ |  |  |
| 2=Poor | $7.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |  |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $30.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $25.1 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |  |
| 5=Very Good | $11.8 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ |
|  |  | $11.8 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe", please indicate how safe you feel from accidents when driving on or through the following: (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
O $\qquad$
Q10d South of I-70

| 1=Very Poor | $3.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $9.4 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $29.4 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $42.8 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $15.0 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |

Q10e US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd

| 1=Very Poor | $8.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Poor | $14.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $38.8 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $30.8 \%$ | $40.5 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good | $7.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Q10f West of Munson Rd |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1=Very Poor | $11.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| 2=Poor | $35.1 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| 3=Okay/Neutral | $37.2 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| 4=Good | $8.7 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| 5=Very Good |  |  | $10.1 \%$ |

Q11. Next, I am going to read statements that could affect US 77 and K-18 and the traffic on and off the highway. In general, increasing the number of access points to a highway decreases the efficiency and safety of that highway, but provides more opportunities to access businesses and homes. Knowing this, for each option, please indicate your level of agreement.
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
Q11a The number of streets accessing US-77 and K-18 should be reduced

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $16.4 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $34.8 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $17.4 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $15.9 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $7.5 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $8.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |

Q11b The number of streets accessing US-77 and K-18 should remain the same

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $5.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $8.2 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $15.5 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $39.6 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $23.9 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $7.2 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |

Q11c The number of streets accessing US-77 and K-18 should be increased

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $25.6 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $32.6 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $13.8 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $11.6 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $7.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $8.7 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q11. Next, I am going to read statements that could affect US 77 and K-18 and the traffic on and off the highway. In general, increasing the number of access points to a highway decreases the efficiency and safety of that highway, but provides more opportunities to access businesses and homes. Knowing this, for each option, please indicate your level of agreement. (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q11a The number of streets accessing US-77 and K-18 should be reduced

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $17.8 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $37.8 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $18.9 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $17.3 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $8.1 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |

Q11b The number of streets accessing US-77 and K-18 should remain the same

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $6.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $8.9 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $16.7 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $42.7 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $25.8 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |

Q11c The number of streets accessing US-77 and K-18 should be increased

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $28.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $35.7 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $15.1 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $8.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |

Q12. What is your opinion of the travel speed on US-77 and K-18 within the city limits of Junction City?
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q12 What is your opinion of the travel speed within Junction City
$1=$ The allowed speeds are
too fast
$2=$ The allowed speeds are

| $27.1 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $64.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |
| $3.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| $5.1 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |

$3=$ The allowed speeds are
too slow
4=Don't know or no opinion
$3.9 \%$
$5.1 \%$
$4.9 \%$
5.0\%

Q13. What is your opinion of the travel speed on US-77 and K-18 in Geary County, outside Junction City?
$\mathrm{N}=619$


Q13 What is your opinion of the travel speed in Geary County

| 1=The allowed speeds are <br> too fast <br> $2=$ The allowed speeds are <br> appropriate | $13.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3=The allowed speeds are <br> too slow | $73.9 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ |
| $4=$ Don't know or no opinion | $5.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q14. I am going to read you several types of development requirements that could impact the identity and image of development along US 77 and K-18. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly agree" and 1 means "strongly disagree', please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
$\mathrm{N}=619$


Q14a Guidelines for development should be established for new development

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $2.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $5.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $10.9 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $37.4 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $36.5 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $7.2 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |

Q14b All new developments should provide internal sidewalks

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $2.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $4.6 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $6.8 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $34.3 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $48.1 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $3.6 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |

Q14c New developments should assist in helping pay for sidewalks

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $3.1 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $4.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $8.2 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $32.6 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $45.4 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $6.0 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |

Q14. I am going to read you several types of development requirements that could impact the identity Qud image of development along US 77 and K -18. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly agree" and 1 means "strongly disagree', please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q14d Sidewalk or trails are not a priority in rural areas

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $18.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $26.3 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $15.0 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $23.7 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $11.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $5.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |

Q14e Recreational bicycle and pedestrian trails are preferred in rural areas

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $6.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $1.4 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $21.0 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $34.8 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $18.8 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $8.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |

Q14f Bicycle and trail connections crossing US 77 and K-18 should be a priority

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $7.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $7.5 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $8.2 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $28.7 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ |
| 9=Not Provided | $7.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q14. I am going to read you several types of development requirements that could impact the identity and image of development along US 77 and K-18. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly agree" and 1 means "strongly disagree', please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$

Q14a Guidelines for development should be established for new development

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $2.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $5.7 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $11.7 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $40.4 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $39.3 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ |

Q14b All new developments should provide internal sidewalks

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $2.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $4.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $7.0 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $35.6 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $49.9 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ |

Q14c New developments should assist in helping pay for sidewalks

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $3.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $4.9 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $8.7 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $34.7 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $48.3 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ |

Q14. I am going to read you several types of development requirements that could impact the identity and image of development along US 77 and K-18. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly agree and 1 means "strongly disagree', please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
Q14d Sidewalk or trails are not a priority in rural areas

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $19.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Disagree | $27.9 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $15.9 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $25.1 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $12.0 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |

Q14e Recreational bicycle and pedestrian trails are preferred in rural areas

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $6.6 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $12.3 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $22.8 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $37.8 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $20.5 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |

Q14f Bicycle and trail connections crossing US 77 and K-18 should be a priority

| 1=Strongly Disagree | $8.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Disagree | $8.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| 3=Neutral | $8.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| 4=Agree | $30.9 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ |
| 5=Strongly Agree | $43.9 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations.
$\mathrm{N}=619$ $\qquad$
Q15a Future residential development along K-18

| 1=Not Supportive | $29.0 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $35.7 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $22.7 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $12.6 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |

Q15b Future commercial development along K-18

| 1=Not Supportive | $26.3 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $31.9 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $31.2 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $10.6 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |

Q15c K-18 should remain rural west of the new Middle School

| 1=Not Supportive | $21.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ Somewhat Supportive | $24.2 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $40.8 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $14.0 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations.
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q15d Future residential development along US 77 between Junction City and Milford

| 1=Not Supportive | $29.0 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $30.4 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $31.9 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $8.7 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |

Q15e Future commercial development along US 77 between Junction City and Milford

| 1=Not Supportive | $25.1 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $30.7 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $36.2 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $8.0 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |

Q15f US 77 should remain primarily rural between Junction City and Milford

| 1=Not Supportive | $27.1 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $25.4 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $35.7 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $11.8 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations.
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$

Q15g US 77 should remain primarily rural south of I-70

| 1=Not Supportive | $22.2 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $25.4 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $36.7 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $15.7 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ |

Q15h Future residential development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange

| 1=Not Supportive | $42.8 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $26.8 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $19.8 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $10.6 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |

Q15i Future commercial development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange

| 1=Not Supportive | $21.3 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $27.3 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $40.8 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $10.6 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations.
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q15j Expansion of industrial development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange

| 1=Not Supportive | $17.9 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $29.7 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $42.0 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $10.4 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations. (Excluding Supportive
$\mathrm{N}=619$


Q15a Future residential development along K-18

| 1=Not Supportive | $33.1 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ Somewhat Supportive | $40.9 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $26.0 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |

Q15b Future commercial development along K-18

| 1=Not Supportive | $29.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ Somewhat Supportive | $35.7 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| $3=$ Very Supportive | $34.9 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ |

Q15c K-18 should remain rural west of the new Middle School

| 1=Not Supportive | $24.4 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $28.1 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $47.5 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |

Q15d Future residential development along US 77 between Junction City and Milford

| 1=Not Supportive | $31.7 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $33.3 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $34.9 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ |

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations. (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$ Total
$\qquad$
Q15e Future commercial development along US 77 between Junction City and Milford

| $1=$ Not Supportive | $27.3 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ Somewhat Supportive | $33.3 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| $3=$ Very Supportive | $39.4 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ |

Q15f US 77 should remain primarily rural between Junction City and Milford

| 1=Not Supportive | $30.7 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $28.8 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $40.5 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Q15g US 77 should remain primarily rural south of I-70 |  |  |  |

Q15h Future residential development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange

| 1=Not Supportive | $47.8 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $30.0 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $22.2 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very
supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations. (Excluding Don't Know)

|  | Geography |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inside | Outside |  |  |
|  | I | O |  |  |

Q15i Future commercial development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange

| 1=Not Supportive | $23.8 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Somewhat Supportive | $30.5 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| 3=Very Supportive | $45.7 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ |

Q15j Expansion of industrial development adjacent to the US 77/I-70 Interchange

| 1=Not Supportive | $19.9 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ Somewhat Supportive | $33.2 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ |
| $3=$ Very Supportive | $46.9 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ |

Q16. Safety is the \#1 concern in determining what types of improvements to make to the highways. Please rank in order the other items that are most important to you.
$\mathrm{N}=619$

| Q16a Economic Development |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | 2.21 | 2.40 | 2.27 |
| Q16b Ease of travel |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | 1.83 | 1.61 | 1.76 |
| $\underline{\text { Q16c Access }} 1.1 .95$ 1.99 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | 1.95 | 1.99 | 1.96 |

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing " 1 merease 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 meate how high you see the level of need for


Q17a US 77 and Old US 77 into Fort Riley Estes Gate

| 1=Not Needed at All | $9.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $4.3 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $15.0 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| 4=High | $18.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $28.0 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $24.4 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |
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Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location.
$\mathrm{N}=619$


## Q17b US 77 and K-244/57

$1=$ Not Needed at All
2=Low
3=Averag
4=High
5=Extremely High Heed 9=Don't Know

| $10.4 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5.3 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $25.6 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| $16.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| $13.0 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| $29.2 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ |

Q17c US 77 and Rucker Rd

| 1=Not Needed at All | $7.2 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $4.1 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $15.9 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ |
| 4=High | $26.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $29.0 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $17.4 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |

Q17d K-18 and Spring Valley Rd

| 1=Not Needed at All | $8.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $6.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $13.8 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| 4=High | $19.8 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $35.0 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $16.7 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location.
$\mathrm{N}=619$


Total Tota
$\qquad$
Q17e US 77 and McFarland Rd

| 1=Not Needed at All |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Low | $26.1 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $10.6 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| 4=High | $19.6 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $13.5 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $18.8 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
|  | $11.4 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |

Q17f US 77 and Ash Rd

| 1=Not Needed at All | $19.8 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $9.2 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $14.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| 4=High | $19.3 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $25.6 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $11.8 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |

Q17g US 77 and the area around I-70

| 1=Not Needed at All | $19.1 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $8.0 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $16.2 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |
| 4=High | $22.0 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $22.0 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ |
| 9=Don't Know | $12.8 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location. (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$


Q17a US 77 and Old US 77 into Fort Riley Estes Gate

| 1=Not Needed at All | $12.8 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $5.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $19.8 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| 4=High | $24.6 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $37.1 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |

Q17b US 77 and K-244/57

| 1=Not Needed at All | $14.7 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Low | $7.5 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $36.2 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| 4=High | $23.2 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $18.4 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |

Q17c US 77 and Rucker Rd

| 1=Not Needed at All | $8.8 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $5.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $19.3 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ |
| 4=High | $31.9 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $35.1 \%$ | $35.3 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ |

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location. (Excluding Don't Know)

## $\mathrm{N}=619$


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q17d K-18 and Spring Valley Rd

| 1=Not Needed at All | $9.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $8.1 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $16.5 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| 4=High | $23.8 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $42.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ |

Q17e US 77 and McFarland Rd

| 1=Not Needed at All | $29.4 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2=Low | $12.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $22.1 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ |
| 4=High | $15.3 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $21.3 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Q17f US 77 and Ash Rd |  |  |  |
| 1=Not Needed at All | $22.5 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| 2=Low | $10.4 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $16.2 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| 4=High | $29.9 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $29.0 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |

## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location. (Excluding Don't Know)
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q17g US 77 and the area around I-70

| 1=Not Needed at All | $21.9 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2=Low | $9.1 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| 3=Average | $18.6 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| 4=High | $25.2 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| 5=Extremely High Heed | $25.2 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |

Q18. Given that even spacing of signalized intersections provides a higher level of safety and efficiency of the roadway, should we consider relocating or consolidating signalized intersections on US 77, if the side effect is driving a little further to your destination?
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$

Q18 Should we consider relocating signalized intersections on US 77

| $1=$ Yes | $44.7 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ No | $31.9 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ |
| 3=Don't Know | $23.4 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ |

Q19. Would you like to receive information about potential improvements to US 77 and K-18?
$\mathrm{N}=619$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Q19 Would you like to receive information about potential improvements

| $1=$ Yes | $45.2 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2=$ No | $54.1 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ |
| $9=$ Not Provided | $0.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |

Q19a. From which of the following sources would you like to receive information about $\qquad$ improvements to US 77 and K-18?
$\mathrm{N}=292$

$\qquad$ Total
$\qquad$
Q19a Which sources would you like to receive information

| 01=Access Channel on cable |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TV | $17.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| $02=$ Brochures | $24.6 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |
| $03=$ Local Newspaper | $56.1 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ |
| $04=$ Newsletters | $27.8 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| $05=$ Radio | $20.3 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| $06=$ Television | $23.5 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ |
| $07=$ A website | $16.0 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| $08=$ Word of Mouth | $6.4 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| $09=$ Public Meetings | $16.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| 10=Other | $11.8 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| 99=Not Provided | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q20. Do you live on Fort Riley?

| $\mathrm{N}=619$ | Geography |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inside <br> I | Outside O |  |
| Q20 Do you live on Fort Riley |  |  |  |
| $1=$ Yes | 0.7\% | 19.5\% | 6.9\% |
| $2=\mathrm{No}$ | 99.3\% | 80.5\% | 93.1\% |

## Q21. Do you work on Fort Riley?

| $\mathrm{N}=619$ | Geography |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inside <br> I | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outside } \\ \text { O } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Q21 Do you work on Fort Riley |  |  |  |
| $1=Y$ es | 20.0\% | 25.9\% | 22.0\% |
| $2=\mathrm{No}$ | 80.0\% | 74.1\% | 78.0\% |

Q22. If you don't live or work on Fort Riley, have you visited the Fort for shopping, medical or other purposes during the last month?


Q22 Have you visited the Fort for shopping medical or other purposes
$1=\mathrm{Yes}$
$2=\mathrm{No}$
$45.9 \%$
$54.1 \%$
$37.3 \%$
$2=$ No
54.1\%
$62.7 \%$
$43.5 \%$
$56.5 \%$

Q25. Respondent's gender
$\mathrm{N}=619$
Q25 Respondent's Gender
$1=$ Male

2=Female
2=Female
Femal
46.3\%
53.7\%
Tota

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Inside } \\
\text { I } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

Dutside
$\qquad$
$49.3 \%$
50.7\%
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Community Survey

## Development Around US 77 and K-18

Phone:
caing from ETC Institute for the Kansas Department of Transportation, Geary County and the Cities of Junction City and Milford. We are conducting a short survey with residents of your area to help plan improvements to US 77 and K-18. Your input is important so that we can plan improvements that best address the current and future growth of the community. May I have a few minutes of your time? The survey takes about 10 minutes.

Q1. Prior to today, did you know there was a study being conducted to plan improvements to these two highways and address future growth in this area?
_(1) Yes
(2) No

Q2. How many years have you been driving on US $\mathbf{7 7}$ or $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{1 8}$ on the west side of Junction City?
_(1) less than 5 years
_(2) 6-10 years
__ (3) more than 10 years

Q3. How often do you drive on US $\mathbf{7 7}$ or $\mathbf{K - 1 8}$ on the west side of Junction City? [Check one that applies best to you]
$\qquad$ 1) Daily
-_ 2) At least once a week
3) At least once or more a month
4) Seldom or never.

Q4. If you travel on US 77, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel? [Check all that apply]
__ (1) going to work/business trave

- (2) visiting friends/relatives
- (3) recreation or entertainmen
- (4) shopping/errands
- (5) getting medical/dental attention
— (6) going to school
- (7) other:
- (8) I don't travel US 7

Q5. Overall, how important do you think the US 77 Corridor is to economic development in Junction City and Geary County?

[^3]-(3) Neutral

Not at all important to economic development on the corridor.

Q6. If you travel on $\mathrm{K}-18$, which of the following are the most frequent reasons for your travel? [Check all that apply]
(1) going to work/business travel
(2) visiting friends/relatives
(ב) recreation or entertainment
-(4) shopping/errands

- (5) getting medical/dental attention
(6) going to schoo
(7) Othe
(8) I don't travel K-18

Q7. Overall, how important do you think the K-18 Corridor is to economic development in Junction City and Geary County? (5) Very important
-(4) Somewhat important
-(3) Neutral

> —(2) Not very important (1) Not at all important to econom development on the corridor.

Q8. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall physical condition of the following sections and intersections on both US 77 and K-18. You may base your ratings on ride quality, the number of potholes and cracks you have observed in the pavement, the upkeep of guardrails and signs, and other items.
 US 77 and K-18?
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Q9. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very good" and 1 means "very poor", please rate the overall flow of traffic through the same sections of highway and intersections that you just rated.
$\frac{\text { How would you rate the Flow of Traffic along US } 77 \text { : }}{\text { (A) K-57/244 to } 12^{\text {th }} \text { Street (Town of Milford). }}$ (A) K-57/244 to 12 (C) $\mathrm{I}-70$ to $\mathrm{K}-18$ (E) South of I-70

How would you rate the Flow of Traffic along K-18
(A) US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd (C) West of Munson Rd.

Q9a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding overall flow of traffic?

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe", please indicate how safe you feel from accidents when driving on or through the following:


How would you rate the Safety of $\mathrm{K}-18$
(A) US 77/K-18 Interchange to Munson Rd. (C) West of Munson Rd

Q10a. Are there any other areas you would like to mention, regarding feelings of safety from accidents?

Q11. Next, I am going to read a statements that could affect US 77 and K-18 and the traffic on and off the highway. In general, increasing the number of access points to a highway decreases the efficiency and safety of that highway, but provides more opportunities to access businesses and homes. Knowing this, for each option, please indicate your level of agreement.

|  |  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Don't <br> Know |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | The number of streets and driveways accessing <br> US-77 and K-18 should be reduced. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| B. | The number of streets and driveways accessing <br> US-77 and K-18 should remain the same. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| C. | The number of streets and driveways accessing <br> US-77 and K-18 should be increased. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

Q12. What is your opinion of the travel speed on US-77 and K-18 within the city limits of Junction City?
_ (1) The allowed speeds are too fast.
-(2) The allowed speeds are appropriate

- (3) The allowed speeds are too slow.
- (4) Don't know or no opinion.

Q13. What is your opinion of the travel speed on US-77 and K-18 in Geary County, outside Junction City?
_(1) The allowed speeds are too fast.

- (2) The allowed speeds are appropriate.
- (3) The allowed speeds are too slow.
- (4) Don't know or no opinion.


## Corridor Identity and Image

Q14. I am going to read you several types of development requirements that could impact the identity and image of development along US 77 and K -18. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly and image of development along US 77 and $\mathrm{K}-18$. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "strongly
agree" and 1 means "strongly disagree", please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

| statements: |  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Don't <br> Know |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | Guidelines for attractive development should <br> be established for new development. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| B. | All new developments should be required to <br> provide internal sidewalks within the site. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
|  | New developments should assist the Cities <br> and County in helping pay for sidewalks/trails <br> along arterial and collector roads connecting <br> new developments to established areas. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| D. | Sidewalk or trails are not a priority in rural <br> areas. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| E. | Recreational bicycle and pedestrian trails are <br> preferred in rural areas. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| F. | Bicycle and trail connections crossing US 77 <br> and K-18 should be a priority, especially near <br> the new middle school. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

## Appendix B-Public Survey Results

Q15. Now, I am going to name various locations where certain developments could occur along the US 77 and K-18 Corridors? For each location mentioned, please tell me whether you would be "very supportive", "somewhat supportive", or "not supportive" of development at these locations.


Q16. Safety is the \#1 concern in determining what types of improvements to make to the highways. Please rank in order the other items that are most important to you.
(A) Economic development
(C) Ease of travel
(D) Access

Q16a. If any of the three above causes environmental issues, would that affect the ranking?

Q17. We are working to determine the need for improvements at existing intersections. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "extremely high need" and 1 means "no need at all," please indicate how high you see the level of need for improvements at each location.


Q18. Given that even spacing of signalized intersections provides a higher level of safety and efficiency of the roadway, should we consider relocating or consolidating signalized intersections on US 77, if the side effect is driving a little further to your destination?
_(1) Yes
_(2) No
_(3) Don’t Know

Q19. Would you like to receive information about potential improvements to US 77 and K-18?
_(1) Yes
(2) No

Q19a. IF YES: From which of the following sources would you like to receive information about planned improvements to US $\mathbf{7 7}$ and $\mathbf{K - 1 8}$ ? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

```
(01) Access Channel on cable TV
-(02) Brochures
    (03) Local newspaper
    (04) Newsletters
    (05) Radio
```


## Appendix B - Public Survey Results

Q20. Do you live on Fort Riley?
__(1) Yes __(2) No
Q21. Do you work on Fort Riley?
__(1) Yes
(2) No

Q22. If you don't live or work on Fort Riley, have you visited the Fort for shopping, medical or other purposes during the last month?
__(1) Yes
_(2) No
Q23. What is your home zip code? $\qquad$
Q24. Counting yourself, what are the ages of the persons in your household? (Write the number of persons in each of the age categories listed below)
Under 5 years
5-9 years
$\qquad$ 20-24 years
$25-34$ years
$35-44$ years
$35-44$ years
$45-54$ years
55-64 years
$65+$ years
15-19 years
Q25. Respondent's gender [Do Not Ask]
_(1) Male

- (2) Female

This Concludes the Survey....KDOT, Geary County, and the Cities of Junction City and Milford Thank You For Your Time


[^0]:    Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K-18 Survey)

[^1]:    Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and $K-18$ Survey)

[^2]:    Source: ETC Institute/HNTB Corporation (February 2008 - US 77 and K -18 Survey)

[^3]:    __ (5) Very important
    -(4) Somewhat important

