



Transportation Alternatives (TA) Program Comprehensive Scoring Sheet

COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW SCORING RUBRIC – 50 points maximum			Reviewer Score and Notes	
Max Points	Application Section	Information to include for each section:	Score	Notes
20	NARRATIVE	<p>The following are described in sufficient detail</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing conditions (0-5pts) • Project Need. Project addresses one or more of the following: a safety concern, connectivity, access, equity, environment. (0-5pts) • Project scope. Demonstrates how project connects to existing infrastructure and transportation systems (0-5pts) • Project Benefits. Includes how project benefits users of multiple transportation modes AND incorporates elements of more than one eligible TA activity (0-5pts) 		
5	DETAILED MAPS & PHOTOS	Maps and photos identify the project location, boundaries, and existing conditions are provided and clear. Clear connection between narrative and visuals. (0-5pts)		
5	SKETCH-PLAN/PRELIMINARY DESIGN/SCOPE OF WORK (For Non-Construction Projects)	Documents that illustrate the completed project, including cross-sections and preliminary plans for proposed facility types are provided, or a draft scope of work identifying programs and activities an applicant expects to implement if awarded funding. (0-5pts)		
3	COST ESTIMATES	Cost estimates are detailed and reasonable based on the estimated total project costs and the associated work phase(s). (0-3pts)		
2	PROJECT TIMELINE	Demonstrates an understanding of the timeline for a KDOT project. Implementation schedule will likely lead to timely completion. (0-2pts)		
5	LETTERS OF SUPPORT	A broad representation of letters of support from key partners and stakeholders are included. Letters demonstrate that the public has been informed of project through various methods with little to no known public opposition. If applicable, letters from individuals or businesses whose property may be affected are included. (0-5pts)		



Transportation Alternatives (TA) Program Comprehensive Scoring Sheet

0	PAST PROJECT PERFORMANCE	New applicants (0), for past Project Sponsors, project delivery and maintenance record of the Sponsor on previous projects was satisfactory (0), unsatisfactory with delivery (-5), and/or unsatisfactory with maintenance (-5). A score range of -10 to 0 may be assigned.	
10	PROJECT POTENTIAL AND READINESS	<p>Applicant has demonstrated the following throughout the application and any addition information provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrated ability to handle a federal project. Scope demonstrates an understanding of what is involved in the proposed concept, possibly with some or all of the design work completed. One clear concept/proposal is presented with details worked /out for crossings, bike facilities, location, etc. <p>(0-10pts)</p>	
	Total Score	Sum all scores and enter in right column	
Overall Rating Enter your overall assessment based on the following rankings. Enter score in right column		Exemplary/Strongly Recommended – 5 Strong/Recommended – 4 Acceptable/If funding allows – 3 Concerning/Fund with caution – 2 Not Recommended - 1	
Additional Reviewer Comments:			

USDOT Disadvantaged Community and Vulnerable Road User (VRU) High Risk Network (HRN) and High Injury Network (HIN) Indicators		
	Yes	No
Project in a disadvantaged community		
Project in a health disadvantaged community		
Is project located on a HRN?		
Is project located on a HIN?		



Transportation Alternatives (TA) Program Comprehensive Scoring Sheet

MATCH SUPPORT

The Bureau of Transportation Safety has committed \$3M of Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds to support up to 20% match on pedestrian and bicycle TA projects that include countermeasures identified in the VRUA. Projects will be scored based on the following criteria:

Criteria. Total points possible: 20	Yes –point value	No	Notes
Project is in community with populations under 5,000. Scoring weight: 2			
Project is identified a disadvantaged based on the Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool . Scoring weight: 3			
Project is located on corridors identified as the state’s VRU high risk/injury networks. Scoring weight: 5			
Project includes safety countermeasures identified in the VRUA and FHWA STEP Guide Scoring weight: 2 points per countermeasure up to 10 points			