

IMPLEMENTATION

US 77/K-18 CORRIDOR ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The purpose of the Corridor Advisory Committee (“Committee”) is to serve as an advisory body to regularly review, evaluate, facilitate discussions, suggest Plan amendments and provide input on events and developments that may have an impact on the Study Corridor and to assist in the development of the Plan implementation strategy. The Committee shall not have any authority regarding powers vested in cities and counties pursuant to state law. The Committee shall be composed of at least one representative from Junction City, Milford, Geary County and KDOT. The city and county representatives shall be appointed by the chief elected official of that particular city or county for a term to be determined by that official. The members of the Committee shall each year elect one member to serve as chair of the Committee. The Committee shall meet whenever the Chair determines that a meeting is appropriate, but shall, at a minimum, meet at least twice a year. The Chair has the option to cancel one of the two meetings if there is no reason to meet.

CORRIDOR PRESERVATION

With the general right-of-way needs identified in the Plan, coupled with the parcel maps, needed tracts of land will be identified for right-of-way preservation. Planning tools highlighted in this Plan should be utilized, including overlay districts to assist in the preservation of needed land. As development occurs through the platting process, communities will need to collaborate with KDOT and each other regarding the need for dedication and/or purchase of the required parcels of land, the construction of portions of the street network (i.e. turn lanes, reverse frontage roads, etc.), and in the modifications to local access to US 77 and K-18. A number of the access management tools identified in this Plan should be used to eventually achieve the access parameters established for the corridor.

Permanent Improvements:

a.) US 77 and K-18: At some point in the future, preliminary design will be needed for the proposed US 77 improvements to further define in more detail the required right-of-way footprint for the Study Corridors. The Plate Maps within this Plan identify a conceptual right-of-way footprint based on standard interchange and roundabout templates and conservative assumptions on customized interchange configurations. No vertical information has been analyzed nor any detailed horizontal alignments performed. More detailed traffic analysis along with preliminary horizontal and vertical geometrics, cross sections, drainage, and environmental work will need to be performed to determine grading limits and more accurate right-of-way requirements.

b.) Local Street Network: As development occurs and as traffic demand increases, each community will need to make to design and construct new or improve existing parallel Arterial and Collector roads in compliance with the Plan. This can be completed through normal CIP improvements or can be accomplished through private development participation.

Interim Improvements:

Given the current lack of funding to build the identified ultimate improvements, interim improvements will be needed to accommodate the growing traffic demands and to address safety issues. Examples of interim improvements include adding traffic signals and/or roundabouts, turn lanes and lighting at intersections which will eventually become interchanges.

These improvements could be funded from one or several of the following sources:

1. projects which qualify for federal funding;
2. projects which qualify for special KDOT funding (ie. geometric improvements);
3. projects which are included on a communities CIP; and
4. projects funded by developers as a result of development impacts.

TOOL BOX OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Substantial effort and expense has been put into the development of this Corridor Management Plan. All of the parties have invested significant resources to:

- collect and analyze all available, relevant background information on the land area included within the corridor footprint map to fully understand current conditions;
- study and extrapolate projections from the current plans adopted and being prepared by the parties and other entities whose plans may have an impact on development within the Corridor to identify trends and prepare alternative scenarios of how future development may and can progress;
- prepare market projections on development opportunities and constraints that will either positively or adversely affect development potentials;
- reach out to all interested stakeholders to obtain input and guidance on what has occurred, what exists and what they feel should be the vision for this Corridor into the future; and
- forge a consensus among KDOT, the community partners and interested stakeholders on a plan that captures this shared vision for enhancements to the mainline highway and adjacent local street network and the interface between the two, including the type and location of points of access, as well as land uses and densities and intensities of development within the Corridor.

Successfully completing this planning effort is a major accomplishment in and of itself. The dividends which will flow to the parties from having achieved this goal are inestimable.

That being said, this Corridor Management Plan is just that: A PLAN. The real purpose for doing a plan is to, through comprehensive and thorough analysis, create a plan to guide decision-making by all the interested parties so that the vision, and as much as possible, the details of the plan can become reality. To make the vision of the Plan a reality, KDOT and each of the local communities within the Corridor, must take action to implement the Plan. This Chapter of the Plan describes a series of techniques that can be used by the partners to help turn the maps, illustrations, policies, goals, strategies and recommendations of the Plan into the actual facility improvements and the associated development patterns envisioned

IMPLEMENTATION

by the Plan. The tools described in this Chapter, when put into place, have the supplemental benefit of establishing additional criterion against which state, county, municipal and utility improvement plans and private development proposals can be evaluated, as each is brought forward through time. Having these supplemental criterion in place will give all parties greater assurance that all the resources the parties put toward creation of this Corridor Management Plan are realized upon and that the vision for this Corridor becomes a well-functioning component of each community.

The tool box of techniques described here is divided into three major sub-sets: Corridor Preservation Strategies; Access Management Strategies and Financing Strategies. Each of these sub-sets are, where appropriate, further categorized to give those using the Plan a better understanding of the role the technique plays in the Tool Box of implementation techniques, the authority to use the tool and how the techniques complement one another when used appropriately.

I. Corridor Preservation Strategies

Corridor preservation is achieved through planning and the implementation of those resulting plans using a variety of regulatory strategies, including zoning, subdivision regulations, access management and exercise of the police power. One primary goal is to control or protect areas identified in the Plan that will be necessary for future enhancement to the mainline of the highway as well as for improvements to the local street network within the Corridor. An equally important goal is to preserve and, wherever possible, enhance opportunities for development at locations within the Corridor that maximize the economic potential of the Corridor, while simultaneously preserving the functionality of the mainline highway, its access points and the interfacing adjacent local street network. Benefits of corridor preservation include:

- preventing incompatible development;
- minimizing adverse environmental/social/economic impacts;
- reducing displacements;
- establishing the location of transportation facilities which allows communities increased opportunities to achieve orderly development through future planning; and
- reducing future project costs.

Close coordination between KDOT and the local communities is essential since authority for some preservation tools are vested in the state and the authority for others is vested in the local governments.

A. Planning Tools

1. Comprehensive Planning - To help ensure that the land development decisions are consistent with and are made in accordance with the recommendations of the Corridor Management Plan, each community should adopt the Corridor Management Plan, including the footprint map covering areas lying within the city's planning area, as a part of the city's comprehensive plan. K.S.A. 12-747 authorizes city and county planning agencies to make or cause to be made a comprehensive plan for the development of that community. There is specific authority to adopt area or sector plans

covering only a portion of the area within a community's jurisdictional boundaries. The plan must show the commission's recommendation for the development or redevelopment of the territory included in the portion of the plan prepared. The planning commission must hold a hearing on the adoption of the Corridor Management Plan and make a recommendation to the governing body on its adoption. The plan does not become effective unless approved by the governing body.

Jurisdiction: Local.

2. Official Maps - A legally adopted map that conclusively shows the location and width of proposed roads or streets, public facilities and public areas and drainage rights-of-way. It is also commonly referred to as a major street plan. Although the Kansas statutes do not specifically authorize cities or counties to adopt an official map, K.S.A. 12-747, in its description of the elements that should be covered in a comprehensive plan, clearly contemplates that the plan include the type of information that is traditionally included in an official map. It goes without saying that the lack of specific statutory authority to adopt an official map in no way precludes a city or county from acting pursuant to their home rule authority to do so. In addition, K.S.A. 12-765, discussed below, granting authority to cities and counties to establish building or setback lines, does authorize cities doing so to incorporate by reference an official map in the ordinance or resolution, as the case may be. The adoption of an official map, which includes its major street and highway systems, as a part of the community's comprehensive plan or as a stand alone document, gives that community one additional point of reference and source of guidance when considering development applications relating to land that lies within the Corridor to determine whether the development proposed will have an impact on the improvements contemplated by the Corridor Management Plan.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

3. Plan Consistency - To help ensure that the community's comprehensive plan is internally consistent and therefore effectively serves as a comprehensive guide to development within the community, upon adoption or in conjunction with the adoption of the Corridor Management Plan, the community should review its existing comprehensive plan to assure that other portions of the plan are not in conflict with and do support the recommendations of the Corridor Management Plan. If the community identifies inconsistencies, it should readopt the comprehensive plan with revisions designed to eliminate those inconsistencies using the procedures outlined for the adoption of a comprehensive plan.

Jurisdiction: Local.

4. Utility Planning - Utilities necessary to support development will be constructed within the Corridor. It is critical that these utilities be located at places that are consistent with the Corridor Management Plan, so they will not have to be relocated upon construction of enhancements to the mainline highway at future dates. Each community within the corridor should, in coordination with all providers of utility services within its corporate boundaries, prepare and continually update a master utility plan. These utility master plans must be carefully coordinated with the Corridor Management Plan to ensure consistency between the two. KDOT and communities within the Corridor should carefully evaluate the Corridor Management Plan, when making decisions about

IMPLEMENTATION

the location of new utilities and related easements. In addition, KDOT and each community should establish a regular point of interface with each utility provider to ensure coordination between the parties in ongoing planning efforts and land acquisition and placement decisions.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

5. Conformity of Public Improvements - K.S.A. 12-748 provides that whenever a planning commission has adopted a comprehensive plan for an area, no “public improvement, public facility or public utility,” of a type covered by the recommendations of that plan, may be constructed without first being submitted to and approved by the planning commission as being in conformity with the plan. Public entities with plans for construction of these improvements, facilities and utilities should consult with the representative of cities and counties with adopted comprehensive plans early in that entity’s decision-making process and timely submit those plans to the appropriate planning commissions for this determination. This requirement applies to any public entity that is intending to do this type of construction within the jurisdictional boundaries of a city or county and is an important way to ensure due consideration is given to the recommendations of the Management Plan, once it is made a part of a community’s comprehensive plan. Cities and counties that learn of plans for construction of this type, by another public entity, within their boundaries, should be diligent in contacting the entity to make sure they are aware of this obligation and then to facilitate the contemplated review, thereby helping to ensure the Plan is fully considered in these situations. It is important to note that the governing body of the entity proposing this construction can over-ride a negative recommendation of a local community planning commission, but even in that instance, an important opportunity for review of the consistency between the proposed construction and the Management Plan by the parties is captured.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

B. Regulatory Tools

1. Development Moratoria - The adoption by a public sector entity of a temporary halt on the processing of applications for all or a specified type of development until a governmental activity is completed, such as the adoption of a plan or the passage of a revised ordinance on a specified subject. The Supreme Court recently held that a reasonable moratorium fulfills a legitimate public purpose and is not per se a taking.

As vigilant as the partners to this Plan may be in incorporating the Management Plan into local comprehensive plans and utilizing the regulatory strategies to implement the Plan, situations are bound to arise where development pressures overtake the local professional staff’s ability to effectively manage those pressures. In those situations, development moratoria are a very effective tool to help stem those pressures while the community determines what approach will be most effective; be it an amendment to the comprehensive plan or passage of an ordinance establishing a new or updated regulatory implementation technique, such as an overlay district. The moratorium ceases the processing of applications during a legislatively established period of time needed to prepare and adopt whatever strategies the community determines will best address the circumstance. It is important to note that adoption of a moratoria is generally considered to be

a zoning action. Accordingly, that ordinance must be adopted pursuant to the hearing and notice requirement of Article 7 of the Kansas Statutes. For that reason, it is critical that communities act quickly to get a moratorium in place once a situation calling for a “time out” is identified. One way to close the window on the rush of applications that might result from notice of the consideration of a moratorium ordinance is for the community’s governing body to adopt a resolutions directing staff to stop accepting applications until the moratorium ordinance takes effect. The authority for adoption of a resolution of this type is found in the “pending ordinance” doctrine, which has been accepted by the courts of most states.

Jurisdiction: Local

2. Zoning - Zoning is one of the most prevalent and effective mechanisms for implementing a comprehensive plan. Zoning is a process utilized by local governments to classify land into areas and districts. These areas are being generally referred to as “zones” and impose, in each area and district, restrictions related to building and structure designs, building and structure placement, and uses to which land, buildings, and structures within these districts may be put, including setbacks and height, lot coverage, and impervious cover restrictions. Zoning ordinances may also make provisions for certain uses to be established community-wide or in individual zones only by issuance of a special or conditional use permit. Rezoning of parcels that have been previously originally zoned may be initiated by the local community or by a property owner.

Jurisdiction: Local.

Through the adoption of zoning ordinances, which are carefully tailored to implement the strategies and policies of the Corridor Management Plan, development within the Corridor can be effectively managed to ensure successful implementation of that Plan. K.S.A. 12-755 and 12-756 authorize both cities and counties to adopt original zoning ordinances, and K.S.A. 12-757 authorizes the rezoning of properties in those instances changing a property’s zoning classification is advisable or necessary to adapt original zoning to current situations. K.S.A. 12-715b authorizes cities, with a couple of exceptions and under certain conditions, to adopt zoning regulations applicable to land located outside of its corporate limits, but only within three miles of those limits and only if the county has not adopted zoning regulations applicable to that area of the county. Written notice of a city’s intent to adopt zoning outside its limits must be provided to the appropriate board of county commissioners. Similarly, each county that proposes to adopt zoning regulations affecting property within three miles of the corporate limits of a city, must give written notice of its intent to that city’s governing body.

- a. Zoning Approval Criteria -- Arguably, the most important Kansas Supreme Court case dealing with zoning is *Golden v. the City of Overland Park*. *Golden* sets out a set of factors that planning commissions and governing bodies may consider when deciding whether to approve or deny a zoning application. One of those factors is consistency with the comprehensive plan. Each community along the corridor, when acting on a development application related to land that lies within the Corridor, should consider whether the development proposed by that application is consistent with the Corridor Management Plan, as adopted into its comprehensive plan.

- b. Overlay Districts -- One of the most effective plan implementation zoning techniques is overlay

IMPLEMENTATION

districts. An overlay district can be either mapped or narratively described to be mapped at some later point in time (floating). An overlay district superimposes certain additional restrictions that modify or supplement the restrictions of the underlying zoning district or districts, in recognition that distinguishing circumstances exist within the area that must be regulated in a manner different from the regulations of the underlying district. One misunderstanding about the term overlay district is that communities think there is a model that can be pulled off the shelf and adopted to serve as its overlay district. While it might be accurate to say that a procedural framework model might exist, nothing could be farther from the truth when talking about the real implementation aspects of the overlay district. The whole goal behind adoption of an overlay district is to address special and unique circumstances and considerations that affect a specific geographic area of the jurisdiction differently than other areas of the jurisdiction. Thus the objective is to identify those circumstances and considerations,; articulate a vision for how that particular area should develop over time while both accommodating and capitalizing on opportunities presented by those considerations,; then develop regulations, restrictions and incentives to guide development to effectively realize that vision.

Overlay ordinances are generally composed mainly of design and performance guidelines and standards, and are filled with illustrations and graphics. They are carefully prepared to effectuate the plan for that specific area. In this instance, the Corridor Management Plan has created the vision, or at least, the superstructure of that vision. An overlay district is crafted to implement that Plan. It is also common for people to believe that the community could prepare one overlay district, and that it would apply to all land in its jurisdiction within the Corridor. For the very reasons stated above, that notion is incorrect also. Because the Plan identifies development scenarios that are unique to each different location within the Corridor, the idea that one set of regulations and incentives could be prepared to guide development along an entire length of a corridor is flawed. Each one of those locations should have its own overlay district with carefully chosen implementation techniques employed to achieve Plan objectives. Potentially, one overlay district could be prepared for each jurisdiction along the Corridor, but for it to have any real usefulness, it would have to break the Corridor into distinct segments with a separate set of standards created for each segment.

3. Subdivision Regulation - The subdivision of land through platting is the other most common method used by communities to manage the development of property within its jurisdiction. The control of the division of a parcel of land is effectuated by adopting subdivision regulations by ordinance or resolution that requires development be in accordance with set design standards and procedures adopted by local ordinance. It is through this mechanism that communities are able to require that the layout of building lots and the public improvements associated with those lots conform with locally established standards. In some locations, subdivision regulation and plat approval may actually be the most significant regulatory tool for managing development. In some more rural area, it is more common for counties to have adopted subdivision regulations than to have adopted zoning. In those unincorporated areas, there would be no local legislative authority to manage development through zoning restrictions. Accordingly, subdivision regulation would be those counties' primary land management tool.

Subdivision regulations usually specify what improvements the subdivider will be required to provide and the standards to which the improvements need to be constructed. A plat is a map prepared by a registered civil engineer or licensed land surveyor showing the boundaries and locations of individual properties and streets of the proposed subdivision. The plat generally also shows land to be dedicated to a public sector entity for streets and easements for public utilities. K.S.A. 12-749 authorizes a planning commission to adopt and amend regulations regarding the subdivision of land, including payment of a fee in lieu of dedication of land. This same section also authorizes a county planning commission to establish subdivision regulations. Much like with respect to zoning, a city may adopt subdivision regulations that control the subdivision of land outside of its corporate boundaries, but only within three miles of that limit or one half the distance between two cities, whichever is less. Similar written notice requirements apply. The regulations must be considered by the planning commission at a noticed public hearing, and the commission must forward its recommendation to the governing body for its approval. K.S.A. 12-750 lays out a process that must be followed where a city desires to adopt extraterritorial subdivision regulations and the county has its own regulations in effect as to that area. That process can result in the creation of a joint city/county committee for subdivision regulation.

K.S.A. 12-752 establishes the procedure for the consideration of and action on plats. Each plat must be submitted to the planning commission, which determines if the plat conforms to the subdivision regulations. If it finds that it does, it notifies the owners of that fact and endorses that fact on the plat. A dedication of land for public purposes must be accepted by the governing body before it takes effect.

Jurisdiction: Local.

This same section prohibits the issuance of a building permit for the use or construction of any structure on any platted lot in an area governed by subdivision regulations, except in the manner provided by that section. It further authorizes subdivision regulations adopted by cities and counties to provide a procedure for the issuance of building permits that takes into account the need for adequate street rights-of-way, easements, improvements of public facilities and zoning regulations, if in existence. The issuance of a building permit is obviously the last step in the typical development approval process. Although courts hold that a building permit must be issued upon submission of a complete application, if all code provisions governing the process for building permit issuance have been fulfilled (ministerial), this does not mean that communities cannot creatively incorporate building permit requirements into their governing code provisions or as a part of the last discretionary step in the development approval process the decision maker cannot condition issuance of a building permit on certain actions by the applicant or the predecessor in title of the lot on which a structure is to be built. For example, it is common for the issuance of a building permit to be conditional upon the payment of a legislatively imposed fee, such as an impact fee. In cities or counties that have not adopted zoning or subdivision regulations, local regulations governing the issuance of building permits may not only be the last step, but also the first step in the development approval process, thus markedly increasing the importance of this tool in the arsenal of techniques a community may employ to effectively manage land development. Even in communities that have adopted one or both regulatory tools, the procedure

IMPLEMENTATION

for the issuance of building permits still may play a very a critical role. K.S.A. 12-751 authorizes cities to adopt and enforce building codes outside that city's limits and allows compliance with subdivision regulations a condition of the issuance of a building permit.

Jurisdiction: Local.

4. Transfer of Development Rights and Density Transfers - Some locations along the Corridor, for a variety of reasons, including availability of access, are best developed with more intense and/or dense uses. Other locations along the Corridor, for other reasons, including the lack of direct access, are most suited for less intense or dense development. One way communities along the Corridor can help ensure that property owners are afforded the maximum opportunity to develop their property to its most reasonable and economic potential is to establish a system of density incentives and transfers to encourage more intense development in areas designated on the Plan for such development and to provide those landowners whose land is designated for less intense development the ability to transfer some or all of their development rights to locations where more intense development is planned, through a sale of those rights to landowners at those intense locations. These systems involve the transfer of all or a part of the permitted density on the parcel to another parcel or to another portion of that same parcel at higher density than would be allowed under the existing zoning regulations. The transfer or removal of the right to develop or build is expressed in units per acre or floor area ratio. This transfer generally occurs in accordance with a legislative established program that allows the shifting of development potential from areas where more intense land uses are considered undesirable (the donor site or sending zone), such as at locations which are a distance from the location where mainline interchanges are to be constructed, to other areas (receiving zones) chosen on the basis of its ability to accommodate development that is more dense or intense, such as areas adjacent to proposed interchanges. For example, developers can buy development rights from properties targeted for public open space and transfer the additional density to the base number of units permitted in the zone in which they propose to develop.
5. Density Incentives - This technique is an additional method of increasing density at locations designated by the Plan, and thereby maximizing the economic potential of the Corridor without sacrificing the functionality of the mainline highway and the adjacent local street network. This technique involves identifying areas, such as areas near interchanges or other access points, which are shown on the Management Plan as more appropriate for dense or intense development than other areas within the Corridor and providing incentives that will encourage developers to propose a form of development at those locations that conform to the density or intensity levels contemplated by the Plan. The most common incentive is to allow for a streamlined development approval process for applications that propose developments which exceed the density thresholds established by the local community through the restrictions of the underlying zoning district regulations. This is generally achieved by allowing for administrative, rather than legislative, approvals during the application review process. To be legally valid, the legislation establishing the program must include specific standards to guide the administrative official in decisions on when an application qualifies for streamlined review and when other application approval criteria are met. There are few limits to the innovation that can be used in creating incentives to lure more dense development. The Management Plan should serve as a good

source of inspiration on potential incentives.

Jurisdiction: Local.

6. Cluster Development - This technique is yet another tool to help achieve Plan goals of ensuring denser development at locations where the Plan calls for it, while simultaneously keeping development away from or at very minimal levels at locations where it will have an adverse impact on Plan goals, such as preserving and protecting critical environment or cultural resources. Generally authorized by specific district regulations, such as a cluster subdivision, it is a development design technique that concentrates buildings in specific areas on a site to allow the remaining land to be used for recreational, common open space or preservation of historically or environmentally sensitive areas. Through the employment of this technique, property owners are able to achieve an acceptable average density for the entire parcel and both the public and private sector participants are able to effectively protect key community resources. This technique is intended to allow for significant creativity in site layout and planning, generally resulting in added value to development areas as a result of access to permanent open space and recreational opportunities.
Jurisdiction: Local.
7. Setback Ordinances - One of the keys to successful implementation of the Corridor Management Plan is ensuring that development does not encroach on right-of-way that would be necessary for highway and interchange improvements as the corridor develops. One very effective way to achieve this objective is through the adoption of a building or setback line. This tool preserves projected rights-of-way and reduces acquisition costs, over-riding goals of the Management Plan. K.S.A. 12-765 authorizes cities or counties which have adopted a plan for a major street or highway system (which would include the Corridor Management Plan) as a part of its comprehensive plan, to adopt building setback lines. After consultation with the Secretary of Transportation, the county engineer and any planning commission of a county or counties within which that highway system lies, the governing body may establish, by ordinance or resolution, a building or setback line along proposed major streets or highways. This enactment includes a prohibition on the location of buildings in front of that setback line. The enacting ordinance or resolution may incorporate by reference an official map showing with survey accuracy the location and width of existing or proposed major streets or highways and any setback or building line. A building or setback line cannot be enforced until a certified copy of the map and any adopting ordinance or resolution is filed with the register of deeds of each county. The key to the enforceability of the setback line is a careful evaluation of the impact of the line, and its attendant prohibition, on adjacent landowners. The restriction on development must leave these owners with viable economic uses for the commonly owned contiguous parcels of land. As a safety valve, the local board of zoning appeals is vested by statute with the power to modify any building restrictions to address unwarranted hardships that constitute a complete deprivation of use. Building setback lines, like build-to lines, can also be established as a part of zoning district restrictions and as a design guideline in an overlay district.
Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.
8. 4(f) Uses - Federal statute places significant restrictions on the authority of the United States

IMPLEMENTATION

Secretary of Transportation to approve a transportation program requiring use of publicly-owned land, a public park, recreation area or wildlife refuges or land of a historic site. Because state transportation programs or projects often involve federal funds, the Secretary's approval is commonly required. Accordingly, it is important that these uses not be located within the Corridor unless no other viable option is available. This imperative makes it critical that communities avoid locating or approving development applications seeking to establish public parks, recreation areas or wildlife refuges and historic sites, also known as 4(f) uses, in the areas shown on the Plan footprint map as right-of-way for the mainline or of any portion of the local street network. The moniker 4(f) comes from the United States Code provision that limits the Secretary's authority.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

9. Variances - Communities in Kansas have authority to grant variances from the specific terms of the zoning restriction whenever doing so is not contrary to the public interest and where, due to special conditions, local enforcement of the provisions of the regulations in an individual case results in unnecessary hardship. K.S.A. 12-759. The board of zoning appeals has the authority to grant a variance to area and setback regulations applicable to that property. The grant of a variance from district restrictions, such as parking requirements and impervious cover requirements, may be an effective way to allow an important development proposal to proceed with minor modifications that keep it out of necessary rights-of-way and behind setback lines. At the same time, the grant of some variances could adversely impact the recommendations of the Plan. Therefore, it is recommended that the board of zoning appeals consult the Corridor Management Plan, as incorporated into its comprehensive plan, when considering any request for a variance to ensure that the variance decision supports the recommendations of the Plan.

Jurisdiction: Local.

C. Administrative Tools

1. Accessibility of the Comprehensive Plan - The goal of a comprehensive plan is not only to serve as a guide to development for the planning commission and the governing body but also to owners and potential owners of property within the community's jurisdictional boundaries. That being the case, it is recommended that the amended comprehensive plan be posted on the city's website and at all other appropriate locations to assist in assuring that all interested parties are informed of the recommendations of the Corridor Management Plan for areas included in its footprint map.

Jurisdiction: Local.

2. Notice and Opportunity to Provide Input - Since the Corridor Management Plan is a joint cooperative effort between the Kansas Department of Transportation and communities along the corridor to create a vision for development of that Corridor and provide a guide to development decisions made by each community within that Corridor, all parties with an interest in potential development along the Corridor should be afforded an opportunity to provide input on that decision-making process during the requisite application and consideration procedures utilized by that community. Accordingly, each community should provide KDOT with appropriate notice of any development application or hearing on an amendment to that community's comprehensive plan if either could reasonably be expected to

have the potential to adversely impact the Corridor. In addition, each community should provide KDOT with advance copies of the proposed plan amendment or development application and any related staff report.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

3. Notice of Applicability of Plan - Another tool to help ensure that individuals who own property within the Corridor and who are considering development of that property are aware that their land is covered by the Corridor Management Plan is to amend all development applications to highlight the existence of special planning areas, including the area covered by the Corridor Management Plan.

Jurisdiction: Local.

4. Land Purchase - Success in being able to acquire property necessary for right-of-way for the mainline highway at the earliest time possible is critical to the successful implementation of the Corridor Management Plan. The ability to act quickly when an opportunity arises is key to this success. If KDOT has prompt notice of properties that become available for purchase within areas shown as future right-of-way in the Corridor Management Plan, it will be in a better position to timely coordinate with local governments on the acquisition of necessary rights-of-way. Cities and counties within the Corridor should employ whatever means are available and identify additional means by which they can keep apprised of land purchase opportunities as they arise within the Corridor.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

5. Economic Incentive Policy - As discussed below, city and county economic incentives can effectively be focused to increase the amount of revenues they generate to pay for the cost of acquisition of land needed for transportation facilities and for the actual construction of the facilities shown on the Plan, as well as to encourage dedications of land for facility rights-of-way. Many cities and counties have adopted policies to guide governing body decisions on when to grant incentives and the level of incentives that will be available. If a community along the Corridor has adopted or is considering the adoption of an economic incentives policy, that policy should be revised or adopted to encourage the use of economic incentives to implement the recommendations of the Corridor Management Plan.

Jurisdiction: Local.

D. Acquisition Tools

1. Land Acquisition - Public sector entities have the authority to acquire land for public improvements, including state highways and local roads and streets by gift, purchase, or condemnation. (K.S.A. 19-101 et seq., Article 12, Section 5 of the Kansas Constitution, K.S.A. 68-404) Sufficient land may be acquired to accommodate immediate construction needs, as well as for future needs. In appropriate circumstances, public sector entities can acquire interests in land for public improvements in advance of the date of the start of construction. Timely acquisition of necessary rights-of-way preserves opportunities to fully implement the goals of the Corridor Plan and helps reduce the cost of full implementation. The primary objective of all the partners in implementing the Plan

IMPLEMENTATION

must be to continually coordinate with one another to identify opportunities to acquire the interests in land necessary to construct the transportation improvements envisioned by the Plan. Continuing coordination is critical, but it means nothing if the partners are not equally devoted to cooperation with one another in the identification of traditional and innovative new sources of revenue and in creative partnering on acquisition strategies.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

2. Access Acquisition - As discussed in Section H below, existing access points that are not consistent with the Corridor Management Plan can often be eliminated through the KDOT's, city's or county's exercise of their police power. For that exercise to be appropriate however, adjacent landowners must be left with "reasonable" access after the inconsistent access point is removed. A private property owner does not have a right to direct access to the highway or to a particular local street, so long as reasonable access to their property is available through some alternative means, such as access to a frontage or reverse frontage road, in the case of a highway or from some other adjacent street.. That being said, situations will arise where this objective of reasonable access cannot be achieved solely through exercise of a public entity's police power. Situations will also exist where it is desirable to eliminate one or more existing access points to a particular parcel to achieve the access management objectives of the Plan, while still leaving that property owner with a point of direct access that is consistent with the Plan. In those, and in other instances, it may be advisable or even necessary to acquire inconsistent points of access through traditional negotiation or condemnation processes. The authority to acquire land referenced in Section D.1 above is also the authority of KDOT, cities and counties to acquire access. Acquisition of access rights can be applied to:

- limit access to designated locations or side streets;
- control access and sight distance at intersections or interchanges;
- introduce long term or permanent access control; and/or
- control traffic and turning movements at locations with high numbers of conflicting movements occur.

3. Land Dedication and In-Lieu Fees - One of the most, if not the most, critical recommendation of the Corridor Management Plan is that both KDOT and the communities along the Corridor do everything within their power to preserve and acquire the right-of-way necessary to construct the enhancements to the highway mainline and to the adjacent and interfacing local street network. One of the goals of the plan is to maximize economic opportunities for both landowners and communities along the corridor while, at the same time, minimizing development of land at locations of a nature, and of an intensity that impedes the partners' ability to ensure that the mainline highway and the local street network function as envisioned by the Corridor Management Plan. New development that takes place within the corridor, in most instances, will create a need for new transportation network facilities to accommodate the vehicle trips it generates.

Both federal and state law authorize the communities along the corridor to require, as a condition of development approval, that the landowner dedicate rights-of-way needed for network improvements in an amount that is roughly proportionate to the need for facilities generated by that development. A carefully calculated system of fees in lieu of dedication also can be effectively utilized to ensure the

timely purchase of sufficient rights-of-way. These in-lieu fees are authorized by K.S.A.12-749. If each community along the corridor adopts a well-designed, legally defensible right-of-way dedication and/or in-lieu fee program, the significant costs of acquiring the right-of-way contemplated by the Corridor Management Plan can be greatly minimized, thereby helping to ensure successful implementation of the Plan.

Jurisdiction: Local.

II. Access Management Strategies

KDOT and local communities can undertake access management activities through its "governmental police powers," which is the authority to take action to protect the well-being, safety and health of the public, and through its authority to acquire interests in land. These management strategies can be designed to apply equally to all parts of the transportation network within the Corridor. Alternatively, access management tools and regulations can be imposed as an overlay district and don't have to be city or county-wide, but can be tailored to accomplish specific objectives in defined areas. A component of access management is known as regulation of traffic flow. Regulation of traffic flow could include several actions listed in the access management tools described below or be as simple as prohibiting left turns, prescribing one-way traffic, or restricting speed as examples. Managing access is complicated and requires careful consideration, but it can be done while still allowing the property owner reasonable access to their property and to the surrounding street network. It is important to understand the differences between access (connection with surrounding roadways) and routing (direction of flows between properties and surrounding roadways).

The following are several action steps the Corridor partners can take in the area of access management to help assure successful implementation of this Management Plan.

- A. Closing of Access - While the ultimate objective of conversion of an existing route to an access controlled facility generally may not be realized immediately, KDOT and the communities need to constantly be looking for and acting on opportunities to eliminate access at locations other than those interchanges and access locations designated in the Plan. Access management is necessary to protect safety for the motoring public and the operational efficiency of the Corridor. Effective access management also protects public investments and facilitates the continued economic vitality of the corridor. In contrast, uncontrolled access, generally impedes development and produces high costs when and if retrofits are needed.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

- B. Approval of Access - As stated above, the authority to allow access to a state highway or city connecting links is vested in KDOT. A request for access is approved and controlled through issuance of a Highway Permit. The Permit is the legal document that establishes the relationship between the landowner and KDOT. All points of access to the state highway system must be the subject of a Highway Permit. This includes when access connections or local streets and intersections are installed, relocated, improved, removed, or replaced on or along state highway system right-of-way. The permit will specify such things as the location of the point of access, issues related to the construction of the access, type of use allowed at the access point and

IMPLEMENTATION

other conditions and limitations of access at that point. The District Engineer has been delegated the authority to approve Highway Permits. Request for a Highway Permit must be made with the appropriate KDOT Area Office.

With respect to access to local streets within the Corridor, the authority to approve that access is vested in either the city or county that has jurisdiction at the requested location. This authority is derived from the government's inherent police power. The actual procedure for obtaining access will vary for community to community. Some communities may have adopted an access management policy that governs the location and other aspects of access to the public streets and road. In other instances, regulations governing access points may be located in the community's zoning district regulations or its subdivision regulations. Provisions on access should be included in the overlay district created for an area with the Corridor. On City Connecting Links, a Highway permit must be obtained for work in the right-of-way. Executed copies of the permit, approved by KDOT and the city or county will be provided to the property owner.

- C. Input to KDOT on Access - Coordination of Access Management - Because of the importance of access management on the mainline highway, road and street network within the Corridor and because the authority to permit and close access to the state highway system and its connecting links is vested exclusively in KDOT, (K.S.A. 68-413 and K.S.A. 68-404(a)), it is critical that communities along the Corridor confer with KDOT respecting development applications that propose access points on the mainline highway and on portions of the local street network that are included in the Corridor Management Plan, particularly if that access is not consistent with points shown on the Corridor Management Plan as future points of access.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

- D. Coordination with KDOT - The Corridor Management Plan identifies existing access points on the highway that should be closed over time, as appropriate circumstances present themselves to achieve access management objectives. Accordingly, each community along the Corridor should cooperate with KDOT in identifying existing access points along the mainline and in closing those points, where doing so, will implement the access management goals of the Corridor Management Plan. Each local government partner should establish points of contact with KDOT to facilitate the ability to quickly capitalize on opportunities as they arise.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

- E. Shared Access - One meaningful way to help ensure that all property owners are afforded reasonable access to the mainline and to the local street network consistent with the full functionality of that network, is to encourage that joint access to that network by adjacent property owners be utilized to the maximum extent possible. Therefore, communities, when reviewing development applications should consider, as a condition of approval of that application, the grant of a recorded easement by the applicant to adjoining property owners or such other conditions as are appropriate to further the Corridor access management objectives.

Jurisdiction: Local.

A lists of common access management tools are provided below. Each tool is illustrated in Table 11 on the following page.

Access Management Tools:

- 1) Close median breaks
- 2) Consolidate mainline driveways
- 3) Eliminate mainline driveways/side road access
- 4) Eliminate public road connections to mainline, reconnect to frontage roads
- 5) Eliminate private driveways, reconnect to frontage roads
- 6) Intersection consolidation
- 7) Convert major intersections to interchanges
- 8) Advanced right-of-way acquisition
- 9) Interim intersection upgrades (traffic signals, turn-lanes and acceleration lanes)

IMPLEMENTATION

Table 11

Tool	Description	Jurisdiction	Implementation and Compensation Requirements
Close Mainline Median Breaks	Eliminate existing median breaks to prohibit left turns to/from mainline and abutting properties.	KDOT	Administrative action under police power to regulate traffic flow. No private property right exists in traffic flow (turning movements) and therefore no compensation due abutting property owners.
Consolidate Private Driveways	Eliminate redundant driveway connections to mainline into single driveway connection, either within an individual tract or at property line of contiguous tracts.	KDOT/LOCAL	If "reasonable" access to the property will remain after consolidation, can potentially be accomplished by KDOT regulation of driveway permits under police power without payment of compensation to affected property owners. More typically, existing access control breaks allowing private driveways to mainline are acquired through traditional negotiation or condemnation processes. If abutting property owner submits a re-zoning or development proposal to local government, driveway locations are subject to regulation under zoning authority without payment of compensation as condition of zoning or development plan approval.
Eliminate Private Driveways/ Side-Road Access	Where property owner has frontage on both mainline and side-road, eliminate mainline driveway and restrict access to side road.	KDOT/LOCAL	If "reasonable" access to the property will remain after consolidation, can potentially be accomplished by KDOT regulation of driveway permits under police power without payment of compensation to affected property owners. More typically, existing access control breaks allowing private driveways to mainline are acquired through traditional negotiation or condemnation processes. If abutting property owner submits a re-zoning or development proposal to local government, driveway locations are subject to regulation under zoning authority without payment of compensation as condition of zoning or development plan approval.
Eliminate Public Road Connections to Mainline, Re-Connect to Frontage Road	Where local roads connect to mainline at locations other than mile roads, eliminate connection between mainline and local cross-road, re-connecting cross road to newly installed frontage or reverse frontage road.	KDOT/LOCAL	KDOT may regulate location where public roads connect to mainline under general statutory authority to establish and maintain state system and its police power. No public "property right" in location where local roads connect to mainline. Therefore, local governments cannot enjoin closure of mainline connections nor can abutting property owners seek compensation for resulting re-routing along local roads to mainline. More typically, KDOT and local governments will jointly undertake coordinated road improvement projects pursuant to their respective general statutory powers to establish and maintain public roadways. Such a project would include closing cross-road intersections with mainline and reconnecting cross-roads to frontage or reverse-frontage roads which connect to mile-roads and mainline interchanges. If abutting property owner submits a re-zoning or development proposal to local government, location of abutting public or private streets are subject to regulation under zoning authority without payment of compensation as condition of zoning or development plan approval.

III. FINANCING STRATEGIES

The Corridor Management Plan has been developed to maximize economic opportunity and to provide a fully functional highway and street network for property owners within the Corridor. The full costs of the improvements to the mainline highway and adjacent street network necessary to achieve these Plan objectives are significant. Monies needed to complete these enhancements may not be available from KDOT or from the local communities within the Corridor when the enhancements are needed. Therefore,

- identifying all existing financing tools, both the traditional and the alternative tools;
- creatively analyzing how these tools can best be utilized individually and in concert with one another to maximize resources;
- investigating possibilities for new options using home rule and delegated powers;
- pursuing federal and state statutory and regulatory amendments to eliminate funding obstacles and provide new approaches; and
- pursuing new legislative authority for innovative funding approaches are all critical to the successful implementation of the Management Plan.

To achieve this sought-after success, it is imperative that all Corridor partners carefully and constantly coordinate with one another to identify potential sources of funds and work diligently, once sources are identified, to make certain that available funds are utilized in the most effective and efficient way to the benefit of all parties to this endeavor.

That having been said, there is a wide array of financing options available to cities and counties to finance infrastructure improvements. Notably, many of these same financing options can be used as economic incentives to encourage development to occur at a certain location, in a certain form, and/or in specified densities or intensities. These financing options include the traditional mechanisms used by cities and counties to raise revenues and to pay for both the capital and operational expenses of government and other alternative financing strategies.

A. Traditional Funding

Traditional funding mechanisms include federal and state funds, real and personal property taxation (Article 12, Section 5 of the Kansas Constitution, K.S.A. 19-101 et seq. and K.S.A. 79-1801 et seq.), sales taxation (K.S.A. 12-187 et seq.), economic development tax exemptions (Article 11, Section 13, Kansas Constitution), special assessments (K.S.A. 12-6a01 et seq., and K.S.A. 12-601), and the Main Trafficway Act (K.S.A. 12-685). All of these financing mechanisms are available to fund improvements contemplated by the Corridor Management Plan and their use, as the situation dictates, should not be ignored.

Because the traditional mechanisms are regularly utilized by KDOT, cities and counties to pay for capital projects, they will not be discussed in detail in this Chapter; rather, this portion of this Chapter is devoted to an explanation of several of the less-traditional mechanisms available to cities and counties to pay for improvements contemplated by the Plan and to incent Corridor development that is consistent with the Plan's recommendations.

Although not actually a source of additional revenue, the bonding authority of cities and counties is worthy

IMPLEMENTATION

of mention. Each is authorized to issue long-term debt to finance projects, with that debt to be repaid from a variety of traditional and some alternative revenue sources. Bonding authority is important for many reasons, but one key advantage of issuing bonds to finance public improvements is that it allows the issuing entity to pay for an improvement up front (before total project costs are available in hand) to get a project started or even completed in those instances where timing is critical in terms of events in the community and/or to take advantage of favorable financial markets. These improvements can then be paid for over time, generally up to 20 years, as tax revenues or other dedicated sources become available. This can be a huge advantage and can help the partners in their efforts to acquire land for and make the improvements contemplated by the Plan when actual situations in the Corridor dictate those actions occur.

Cities and counties are authorized to issue general obligation bonds payable from a general tax levy on all taxable property within the city (K.S.A. 10-101 et seq.). These GO Bonds are backed by the full faith and credit of the issuing entity. As an alternate, the city may issue revenue bonds (K.S.A. 10-1201 et seq.). Revenue bonds are repaid from a pledge of the revenue from a specified income-generating facility or source. Revenue bonds are not guaranteed by the full faith and credit of the issuer. A city may issue special assessment bonds to be repaid, in whole or in part, from the revenues received from special assessments imposed on properties that are specially benefited by the improvement(s) constructed within an assessment district (K.S.A. 12-60015). Special assessment bonds are actually general obligations of the issuer, which, in addition to the pledge of the revenues from the special assessment, are backed by the full faith and credit of the city. The final category of traditional municipal bonds are special obligation bonds. These are bonds issued under the authority of Kansas statute, specifically, K.S.A. 12-1770 et seq. and 12-17, 160, et seq., to finance the undertaking of redevelopment projects. These bonds are payable from incremental property tax increases resulting from the redevelopment in an established redevelopment district, a pledge of a portion of the revenues received by the issuer from transient guest, sales and use taxes collected from taxpayers doing business in a redevelopment district, franchise fees, private, state or federal assistance or any combination thereof.

B. Alternative Funding Mechanisms

Most alternative funding techniques are devised by one local government to meet a local need and their use than spreads from community to community, where the techniques are refined based on trial-and-error. Many of these approaches do not have specific legislative authority, but are enabled through home rule, local police powers, or a broad reading of authority from another source, such as local planning.

State, highway, road and street projects, required to support new development, may be constructed utilizing economic incentives, such as tax increment financing, Star Bonds, sales tax reimbursement agreements, tax abatement, special assessment districts and transportation development districts, to name only several of the options. It is important that, wherever possible, local communities along the Corridor be cognizant of their ability to require that revenues from the grant of these incentives to developers be used to offset the cost of the construction of mainline highway improvements and related improvements to the local street network, as shown on the Corridor Management Plan. But, even more

importantly, they must actually make the grant of these incentives conditional on a reasonable portion of these monies being used to pay the cost of Corridor Management Plan identified improvements.

Jurisdiction: Local

These incentives also effectively can be used to influence the location, type/uses, form, architectural quality, configuration and density/intensity of development. It is important to utilize these incentives, not only to offset traditional public costs for these facilities, but also as incentives to shape development proposals, so they further Plan recommendations and achieve quality design and sustainable development in the Corridor.

1. Impact Fees - Impact fees are one-time regulatory fees assessed against new development to cover the costs for necessary capital facilities proportionate to the demand generated by the new development. The fee is imposed by a public sector entity on development activity as a condition of granting development approval, and generally is calculated at the platting stage and collected at the time a building permit is issued. Kansas has no impact fee establishing authority. Nevertheless, cities and counties can establish a system of impact fees using their home rule authority. This system of fees requires the development of a legislative adopted scheme that includes the calculation methodology for the fee, and a system of credits, exemptions and appeals. The system would be adopted by ordinance or resolution, as the case would require. Impact fees must be used to add capacity attributable to new development; they cannot be used to pay for improvements necessitated by existing development. An impact fee must meet three requirements:

- The new facilities are a consequence of new development;
- There must be a proportionate relationship between the fee and the infrastructure demand; and
- The funds collected must be used to provide a substantial benefit to the new development.

In Kansas, impact fees may be collected either across the entire jurisdiction or in a designated geographic area. While they may be assessed at platting, impact fees are typically collected at building permit issuance. A detailed calculation is necessary to ensure that the system, and particularly the fee charged property owners, is proportionate to the demand for new facilities that each unit of new development generates, i.e., its impact, in terms of facility capacity consumed. In funding transportation network facility improvements, the measuring stick for each development's impacts is the number of vehicle trips it will generate. Since streets are generally designed to accommodate the PM Peak trips, that is generally the time interval used.

The Kansas Supreme Court has recognized the legitimate use of impact fees in *McCarthy v. City of Leawood*.¹ In that case, the City of Leawood assessed the payment of impact fees on the issuance of building permits and plat approvals for properties within the K-150 (135th Street) Corridor. The purpose of the fee was to finance a portion of the improvements of K-150. The fee was calculated based upon trip generation, at a rate of \$26.45 per trip. This rate was then multiplied by the average number of trips generated by a use to determine the individual fee. For example, residential uses were projected to generate 10 trips per day, multiplied by \$26.45 for a fee of \$264.50 per unit.

Jurisdiction: Local.

¹257 Kan. 566, 894 P.2d 836 (1995).

IMPLEMENTATION

2. Excise Tax - Technically, an excise tax is a broad term that covers every type of tax, except a property tax. As with all taxes, it is a method of raising revenue. It is distinguished by the fact that rather than being based on the value of property, it is levied on a certain activity or the exercise of a privilege - more accurately described as business done, income received, or privilege enjoyed. Typical examples of excise taxes include taxes on the purchase of gasoline, alcohol or cigarettes, business license taxes and on the rental of hotel rooms. In recent past, local governments in Kansas have innovatively used an excise tax to fund transportation network improvements that are required to support development. It is structured as a tax on activity of platting lots. The rate of the tax is based on the amount of square footage proposed to be constructed or on the number of vehicle trips the proposed development will generate on the street network. The key reason for its use has been that because it is a tax and not a regulatory fee, the rate is not required to satisfy the constitutional benefit or nexus requirements of regulatory fees imposed by local governments, such as impact fees discussed above. Kansas courts had upheld this financing approach. In 2006, however, the Kansas Legislature amended K.S.A. 12-194 to make it uniformly applicable to all cities. By doing so, this provision became no longer subject to a charter ordinance whereby cities could make its provisions inapplicable to that city and adopt supplemental provisions on the subject. This charter ordinance approach was the one that cities had used to eliminate the legal impediment in K.S.A. 12-194 and use its ordinary ordinance home rule power to establish an excise tax system of this type. It had become known as a "development excise tax." That amendment, in addition to precluding local governments that did not have a development excise tax in place from adopting one, also included a provision that prevented cities that had levied or imposed a development excise from increasing the rate of the tax without a majority vote of the city electors, after July 1, 2006. Accordingly, this technique is only available to local governments that had a development excise tax in place before that date, and those that did have one in place cannot increase the rate charged without a vote.

Jurisdiction: Local.

3. Transportation Development Districts - A Transportation Development District (TDD) (K.S.A. 12-12,140,17,140 et seq.) is a form of a special district that was enacted specifically to facilitate the construction, maintenance and financing of a broad array of transportation projects, ranging from streets, roads, highway access roads, interchanges, bridges to light rail and mass transit facilities. Most improvements related thereto, such as streetscape, utility relocations and other necessary associated infrastructure, can also be funded using this technique. While a regular special district can be used to address transportation issues, transportation development districts allow greater funding flexibility, including authority to impose a transportation development district sales tax of up to 1% (K.S.A. 12-17,145), in addition to the authority to levy special assessments. The district may issue bonds backed by the revenues received from properties in the district from the imposed sales tax or special assessment. One significant difficulty in utilizing this mechanism for improvements covering a larger area is that the district can only be formed through a petition signed by owners of all of the land area within the proposed district. So, if the improvement is adjacent to lands owned by different owners, it may be difficult to obtain the consent of all necessary owners.

It may have its greatest utility for distinct segments of the improvements proposed by the Management Plan, such as mainline highway interchanges and access roads located within one tract of land that is designated in the Plan for more dense or intense development. This technique can also be used effectively to assist in the financing of key portions of the adjacent local street network. The statutory scheme allows for a good deal of flexibility in how the boundaries of the district are established, so long as all included property owners agree. For that reason, the community partners should keep this tool on the list of the ones that should be considered for funding, particularly in those instances where a property owner or several property owners want to develop a section of land at an access point with sales tax generating properties.

Jurisdiction: Local.

4. Transportation Utility Fee - A transportation utility fee is a fee collected on residences and businesses within a city's corporate limits tied to the use and consumption of the transportation system. While this approach has only recently been applied to transportation services, utility charges have been used for years "to finance not only public water and wastewater systems but also such diverse facilities and services as electricity, telephone or telegraph services, gas, and a cotton gin."² There are a number of benefits to TUFs:

Utility rates and fees provide a steady revenue stream that may be used for maintenance and operations costs, as well as facilities construction and are not required to meet the direct benefit test applicable to special assessments. Also, utility charges are generally not subject to voter approval, as are many taxes.³

And perhaps most applicable to the current circumstances, "[t]he development of a transportation utility is a particularly attractive option in states with strong home rule powers, such as Colorado, Florida, and California."⁴

Utility fees are collected from all development, both existing and new (as it "hooks-in" to the existing system). Charges are based on usage estimates of trips by land use and project budgets. The transportation utility fee is typically added to an existing county or utility collected tax or rate bill.

The uses to which revenues from a utility can be used are limited only by the restrictions placed on their use in the enabling authority. Generally, however, the revenues would be placed into a separate fund and earmarked or dedicated to the purposes stated in the enabling authority and to no other purpose.

There is no specific legislative authority for transportation utility fees in Kansas. Local governments will need to look to home rule to authorize this financing mechanism. The key to the successful employment of this technique is crafting an ordinary ordinance or resolution that establishes a system of charges that will not be found to be a "tax," while at the same time ensuring that the ordinance or resolution is not in conflict with existing state statutes, such as, by example, K.S.A. 12-6a01 et seq., authorizing special assessment districts.

²64 Am. Jur.2d Public Utilities § 1 (1972) (cited in Susan Schoettle & David Richardson, *Nontraditional Uses of the Utility Concept to Fund Public Facilities*, 25 URB. LAW. 519 (1993).

³ Id. at 525.

IMPLEMENTATION

In the leading case on transportation utility fees, *Bloom v. City of Fort Collins*,⁵ the Colorado Supreme Court reached the following conclusion:

We hold that a transportation utility fee is not a property tax but rather is a special fee imposed upon owners or occupants of developed lots fronting city streets and that such fee . . . is reasonably related to the expenses incurred by the city in carrying out its legitimate goal of maintaining an effective network of city streets.

The Fort Collins transportation utility fee was adopted to address maintenance issues. Nothing, however, would prohibit the utility fee from being designed to fund construction-related costs. The Fort Collins fee was calculated based on: “the amount of frontage in linear feet that each lot or parcel has on the right-of-way of an accepted street; the base rate maintenance cost of each foot of frontage; and the developed use of the property (which includes the amount of vehicular traffic generated by the property).”⁶ The fee was billed monthly. The Colorado Supreme Court found that the transportation utility fee qualified as a fee and not a direct tax. “Unlike a tax, a special fee is not designed to raise revenues to defray the general expenses of government, but rather is a charge imposed upon persons or property for the purpose of defraying the cost of a particular governmental service.”

Although this technique has a lot of potential as a viable alternative funding strategy, careful coordination with legal counsel will be necessary to ensure the precise structure developed is legally defensible.

Jurisdiction: Local

5. Tax Increment Financing - Tax increment financing (K.S.A. 12-1770 et seq.) is a tool used by local governments to capture the future increases in property tax and all or a portion of the revenues received by the city from transient guest, use, local sales taxes collect from taxpayers doing business within the district (county sales tax revenue with county approval) and increased franchise fees, and to make revenues realized therefrom available as an incentive to development, by using the revenue to pay for, generally, public infrastructure necessary to implement a redevelopment project plan (K.S.A. 12-170a (o)). Project costs may not include costs related to a structure to be owned by or leased to a developer.

TIF funding can provide funds to the City either as collected (pay-as-you-go) or through special obligation tax increment bonds repaid over twenty years.

While there is specific enabling authority for the use of TIF, it is limited to “eligible” areas that fall within one of the following categories and the boundaries of which are designated by the local government as a redevelopment district :

- Blighted
- Blighted and in a 100-year flood-plain
- Intermodal transportation area
- Major commercial entertainment and tourism area
- Conservation (becoming blighted)

- Major tourism area
- Historic theater
- Enterprise zone, or
- Environmentally contaminated area

Therefore, not all property within a local government’s jurisdictional boundaries may qualify to be included in a redevelopment area.

Eligible project costs most certainly will include all transportation network public infrastructure identified in the Corridor Management Plan.

Jurisdiction: Local

6. Sales Tax and Revenue Bond Districts - This mechanism (K.S.A. 12-17, 160 et seq.) is the big brother/sister of tax increment financing. It’s “Super TIF,” if you will. The entire mechanism works almost exactly like tax increment financing, except the districts are called STAR bond project districts and the individual projects in the district are called STAR bond projects. Each project must be approved by the Secretary of Commerce and include at least a \$50,000,000 of capital investment and evidence \$50,000,000 in project gross annual sales or, if outside a MSA, met the requirements of K.S.A 12-17,162 (w). It is the heightened level of incentives authorized in these districts that is key. Once a district is established and a project plan is approved, the approving city may issue special obligation bonds. Importantly, those bonds may be repaid from the portion of the county sales and use tax collected from taxpayers within the city portion of the district AND the sales tax increment revenues received from any state sales taxes collected from taxpayers in that district. This is in addition to the property tax increment and local sales, use and franchise fee that can be pledged to repayment of the special obligation bonds issued in a traditional tax increment financing project. The Secretary can set a limit on the amount of bonds that may be issued to pay eligible project costs.

C. INTERLOCAL COOPERATION

Through the exercise of home rule, by entering into an interlocal cooperation agreement, pursuant to K.S.A. 12-2901 et seq., and by utilizing powers granted to cities and counties by Kansas statutes, significant opportunities exist for cities and counties to cooperate with each other in the creation of corridor-wide financing strategies for the mainline highway enhancements and city connectors and local road projects within the corridor. There is potential for such cooperation in the use of both the traditional and the alternative financing mechanisms described above.

K.S.A. 12-2901 et seq. authorizes all public agencies of the state (including KDOT) to jointly cooperate in the exercise of any power, or privileges, or authority exercised or capable of exercise by such agency, including economic development and public improvements, pursuant to an agreement in the form therein provided. See also, K.S.A. 75-5023.

Wherever possible, these opportunities should be investigated by KDOT and each local community to ascertain if a multi-jurisdictional approach will be beneficial to all parties, by providing better opportunities to successfully implement the goals of the Management Plan.

Jurisdiction: KDOT/Local.

⁴*Id.*
⁵784 P.2d 304, 305 (Colo. 1989).
⁶*Id.* at 306.